

ASSESSMENT OF REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH LITERACY, BEHAVIORS AND HYGIENE PRACTICES AMONG INFERTILE FEMALE PATIENTS

AIZA SANAM

Department of Environmental Science, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan.

NADIA GHANI*

Department of Environmental Science, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan.

* Corresponding Author Email: nadiaghani2@yahoo.com

MUNEEB UL HAQ

Institute of Applied Psychology, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan.

HOUDA JAVED

Department of Environmental Science, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan.

FAKHRA ASLAM

Department of Environmental Science, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore, Pakistan.

BABRA SHOUKAT

Department of Gynaecology, District Head Quarter Hospital, Okara, Pakistan.

Abstract

Hygiene is indispensable for the protection of reproductive health. The higher prevalence rate of infertility issues in Pakistan makes it crucial for females of reproductive age to have detailed knowledge of hygienic behaviors. The study aimed at examining the health literacy level, awareness level and hygienic behaviors that may directly or indirectly influence the female reproductive health. The study was conducted in District Headquarter Hospital (DHQ), Okara, Pakistan. The source population comprised of 200 females facing infertility issues including pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), fibroid uterus (FB), ovarian cysts (OC), ectopic pregnancy (EP) and polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). A structured questionnaire was designed to assess the knowledge, attitude and practices of infertile female subjects in the reproductive age group of 18 to 42 years. 41% of the female respondents were found in the age range of 26-34 years. With respect to the BMI category, it was found that around 70.0% of the females were in the category of Obese I. The knowledge about the effective preventive measures against disease transmission revealed that most of the females were lacking the knowledge. Considering the attitude of females, it was found that according to only 22.0% personal, food and environmental hygiene may be helpful in the prevention of disease transmission. The personal as well as environmental hygiene practices were not found to be at appropriate level as reported by the infertile female patients. Most of the items in the questionnaire were having a statistically significant association ($p < 0.05$) with various infertility issues. It is, therefore, requisite for the infertile females to have knowledge of good hygienic practices for the reduction of infertility issues.

Keywords: Hygiene, Infertility, Pelvic Inflammatory Disease, Fibroid Uterus, Ovarian Cysts, Ectopic Pregnancy, Polycystic Ovarian Syndromes.

INTRODUCTION

Infertility is a growing reproductive health concern that affected approximately 48.5 million couples as estimated by World Health Organization (WHO) (Mascarenhas et al., 2012). One out of six couples suffer from infertility distress globally (ESHRE, 2017). Infertility interferes with the mental realm of humans since it exhibits the potential to cause personal

failure and disorganization in functioning. The situation is troublesome as compared to physical trauma and pain (Elhussein et al., 2019). As a social issue, infertility influences people unfavorably across the globe (Ceballo et al., 2015; Daibes et al., 2017; Batool and de Visser, 2014, 2016).

Females with infertility issues ought to face humiliation, seclusion and social sarcasm (Ibisomi and Mudege, 2014). Moreover, such issues may result in mental and emotional strain (Dyer et al., 2002; Donkor, 2008; de Kok, 2009; Dhont, 2011; Fehintola et al., 2017), verbal hostility and physical abuse between partners (de Kok, 2013; Dhont, 2011). Infertile females exhibit signs of fear, disappointments, distress and frailty (Gerrits, 1997; Dyer et al., 2002; Donkor et al., 2017).

Hygiene, a traditional concept of medicine, personal and professional care, specifies a collection of practices associated with health protection and well-being (Anthoj et al., 2018; Atlam et al., 2016). The maintenance of health, prevention of disease transmission and health management are focal points of hygiene (Simos et al., 2017, Grota and Grant, 2018), thereby, personal hygiene promotes health (Webber et al., 2018; Campbell-Lendrum and Prüss-Ustün, 2019; Levanova et al., 2016). The discrimination of hygiene practices is connected with individual, family and social factors along with the knowledge and behavior of individuals (Andersen, 2019; Tomaszewska et al., 2018). Regular hygiene practices may be contemplated as healthy practices whereas negligence may be regarded as repulsive and intimidating (Yadav et al., 2017; Rodrigues et al., 2018).

The customary cause of illness is infection accompanying healthcare in both viz., developed and developing countries. In developing nations particularly South Asia, the scenario is worst owing to scanty resources (Allegranzi et al., 2011). The maintenance of good hygiene practices prevents the escalation of infection (Jayarajah et al., 2019). The insufficiency of knowledge, time, resources regarding hygiene practices and oversight of higher administration in the implementation of hygiene practices may be the contributory factors of poor conformance with hygienic practices (Trampuz and Widmer, 2004). Globally, a substantial public health perturbation is Reproductive Tract Infections (RTIs) (Wasserheit et al., 1989; Bhatti and Fikree, 2002).

As per UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), good health and wellbeing is pivotal for the reduction of mortality (Hogan et al., 2018). The cornerstone of integrative tool i.e., Predictive, Preventive and Personalized Medicine (PPPM) is restraining the prevalence of communicable as well as non-communicable diseases within community at global level (Younesi et al., 2018). Since, predictive and preventive measure is based on thorough assessment of population behavior, therefore, attitude and practices of subjects with respect to hygiene will comprehend predictive and preventive medicine process (Odonkor et al., 2019).

A research conducted on primary school students in Ethiopia exhibited that the practices with regard to handwashing with soap were not discernible irrespective of ample knowledge of hygiene (Vivas et al., 2010). Likewise, the importance of reinforcement strategies for behavioral change (Pradhan et al., 2020) is outlined by another study in

India indicating the lack of translation of knowledge into scrupulous practices (Sarkar, 2013).

Genital infection, a major female health issue, affects family and marital life (Sevil et al., 2013). Such infections may lead to serious health concerns (Hilber et al., 2010) including ectopic pregnancy, cervical cancer, infertility, sepsis and congenital infection of newborn (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019). Health behaviors of individuals play a predominant role in everyday performance, thereby, affect wellbeing. Such activities are contingent on experiential utilization of existing knowledge and personal choices (Kaya et al., 2016). For the prevention of genital infections, hygiene plays a predominant role. In order to preserve and boost female's health, early diagnostics, proper treatment and essential preventive measures are inevitable (Hamed, 2015). In light of this, the aim of the research was to assess the personal hygiene practices among female infertility patients.

METHODOLOGY

Study Area

The current study was conducted in District Headquarter Hospital (DHQ) of Okara that lies on the South-East region of Punjab, Pakistan. The city is located at latitude and longitude of 30.81⁰48'N and 73.45⁰27'E respectively. It is situated at a distance of 127 km from Lahore city (Younas et al., 2023).

Study Design and Ethical Review

Before carrying out the study, Ethical Review was taken from the Ethics Committee of Lahore College for Women University (LCWU), Lahore. The participants were informed about the intention of study. With the assurance on anonymity, the female patients filled the written consent. The study was a hospital based cross sectional study. The source population of the study comprised of female in reproductive age group (18-42 years). A total of 200 infertile female participants were part of the study. For the selection of patients, convenience sampling strategy was employed. Proper inclusion and exclusion criteria were set and adopted during the study. All patients of infertility who visited hospital for standard or routine checkup were included. The female patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), ovarian cysts (OC), fibroid uterus (FU) and ectopic pregnancy (EP) were included for research purposes. Females with previous history of infertility or repeated miscarriages were also included. Females with age less than 18 years and above 45 years were excluded from the study. Moreover, healthy females with no infertility diagnosis by consultant gynecologist were also excluded.

Data Collection

In order to meet the objectives of the study, a structured questionnaire was developed for data collection. The questionnaire comprised of various sections to elicit information on socio-demographics i.e., age, social status, education level, marital status, occupation etc and personal hygiene practices (PHP) among female infertility patients. A well-

structured personal and environmental hygiene questionnaire was formulated after slight modification in the questionnaire developed by European Environment and Health Youth Coalition (Ciobanu et al., 2016), for the evaluation of degree of knowledge, attitude and practices. The questionnaire related to personal hygiene practices (PHP) was categorized into four sections i.e., knowledge, attitude, practices and environment related practices. The section knowledge included the questions related to effective preventive measures against various diseases. Section attitude comprised of questions related to healthy life styles for the prevention of communicable diseases. The main section of the questionnaire i.e., practices that are actually employed for the prevention of disease transmission. The section environmental hygiene practices focused on the cleanliness of the surrounding environment. After collection of data through questionnaire, data was entered in IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for data analysis. Descriptive statistics including frequency, percentages, mean and standard deviation were computed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socio-Demographics

The socio-demographical attributes of the female respondents facing infertility issues are tabulated in Table 1. Three age slots were developed for the study. Most of the respondents (41%) belong to the age group of 26-34 years. The educational status of the respondents clearly indicated that most of the respondents were literate. Most of the female respondents with infertility issues (56.5%) belong to lower class. The calculation of BMI revealed that most of the female respondents (70%) were found to be in the Obese I category of BMI. Various factors that may influence the personal hygiene practices of an individual comprises of cultural background, personal habits, health condition and socio-economic level (McKay et al., 2016; Appiah-Brempong et al., 2018)

Table 1: Socio-demographic attributes of female respondents facing infertility issues

| Characteristics | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Subjects | 200 | 100 |
| Gender | Female – 200 | Female – 100 |
| Age (in years) | | |
| 18-25 | 48 | 24 |
| 26-34 | 82 | 41 |
| 35-42 | 70 | 35 |
| Educational Level | | |
| Illiterate | 7 | 3.5 |
| Primary | 74 | 37.0 |
| Secondary | 75 | 37.5 |
| HSSC | 33 | 16.5 |
| Graduation | 11 | 5.5 |
| Socio-economic status | | |
| Lower | 113 | 56.5 |
| Middle | 68 | 34.0 |
| Upper | 19 | 9.5 |
| Height (M+ S.D) | 4.857+0.4212 | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|
| Weight (M+S.D) | 51.32+10.297 | |
| BMI (M+ S.D) | 29.98+2.450 | |
| BMI Categories | | |
| Under weight | 1 | 0.5 |
| Normal | 9 | 4.5 |
| Over weight | 48 | 24.0 |
| Obese I | 140 | 70.0 |
| Obese II | 2 | 1.0 |
| Housing Type | | |
| Public housing | 25 | 12.5 |
| Housing authority/society | 54 | 27.0 |
| Subsidized sale flat | 59 | 29.5 |
| Private residence | 43 | 21.5 |
| Village house | 19 | 9.5 |

Section 1 – Knowledge

Table 2 outlines the findings of research in relation to the knowledge of infertile female patients with regard to effective preventive measures against spread of various diseases. Upon inquiring the respondents about the knowledge of effective preventive measures, it was found that majority of the respondents (38%) considered that covering mouth and nose while sneezing or coughing is the most effective preventive measure against spread of disease. Moreover, it was worthy to note that 6.5% of the female patients lack complete knowledge about the effective preventive measures against transmission of disease. The respondents were also asked about the effective preventive measure specifically against gastrointestinal infections. 35.0% of the female respondents consider the tidiness and dryness of kitchen as an effective preventive measure against spread of gastrointestinal infections. Alarmingly, only 20.0% of the source population consider washing of hands before eating and cooking as effective measure.

Table 2: Knowledge of female respondents about PHP

| Items | Categories | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--|--|---------------|----------------|
| Section 1 - Knowledge | | | |
| 1. Effective preventive measure against spread of disease | Ensure good indoor ventilation | 27 | 13.5 |
| | Cover mouth and nose when coughing or sneezing | 76 | 38.0 |
| | Keep hand and clean wash hands properly | 70 | 35.0 |
| | Receive vaccination | 14 | 7.0 |
| | Don't know | 13 | 6.5 |
| 2. Effective preventive measure against gastrointestinal infections | Wash hands before eating or cooking | 40 | 20.0 |
| | Store food properly | 64 | 32.0 |
| | Keep kitchen tidy and dry | 70 | 35.0 |
| | Receive vaccination | 24 | 12.0 |
| | Don't know | 2 | 1.0 |

Section 2 – Attitude

Table 3 delineates the characteristics exhibiting the attitudes of female respondents facing infertility issues. The attitude of females reflected that only 22.0% of females agree with the statement that personal, food and environmental hygiene predominantly prevents the transmission of disease.

About 35.5% of the females were neutral about the prevention of communicable disease with the maintenance of healthy life style. It was worthy to note that most of the females (36.5%) facing infertility considers that Government is responsible for the provision if hygienic environment.

Table 3: Attitude of female respondents towards PHP

| Items | Categories | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Section 2 - Attitude | | | |
| 3. Personal, food and environmental hygiene prevents communicable disease (CD) | Agree | 44 | 22.0 |
| | Neutral | 85 | 42.5 |
| | No comment | 52 | 26.0 |
| | Don't know | 19 | 9.5 |
| 4. Maintenance of healthy life style prevents CD | Agree | 49 | 24.5 |
| | Neutral | 71 | 35.5 |
| | No comment | 60 | 30.0 |
| | Don't know | 16 | 8.0 |
| 5. Responsible for the maintenance of hygienic environment | Citizen | 49 | 24.5 |
| | Community | 55 | 27.5 |
| | Government | 73 | 36.5 |
| | Don't know | 23 | 11.5 |

Section 3 – Personal Hygiene Practices

The hygiene practices of infertile females are outlined in Table 4. Upon coughing and sneezing, only 14.0% of the females always cover mouth and nose. After sneezing and coughing, 37.0% of females often wash their hands. 7.5% of females do not practice washing the hands after toilet. After handling rubbish, 36.5% of the females wash their hands. 7.0% of females do not wash their hands after handling pets.

After visiting public places, 37.5% of females wash their hands sometimes. The practice of washing hands was commonly done from 10 to 20 seconds as mentioned by 37.0% of the female respondents.

A study found a significant relationship between history of genital infections and females who bathed once a week ($\chi^2 = 25.534$; $p < 0.001$) (Sevil et al., 2013). In another study conducted by Hamed (2015), women were less likely to bathe, wash hands before and after toilet ($\chi^2 = 6.7$; $p = 0.009$, $p = 0.010$).

Table 4: Personal Hygiene Practices of infertile female patients

| Items | Categories | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 6. Cover mouth and nose when coughing and sneezing | Always | 28 | 14.0 |
| | Often | 77 | 38.5 |
| | Sometimes | 76 | 38.0 |
| | Never | 16 | 8.0 |
| | Not applicable | 3 | 1.5 |
| 7. Wash hands after sneezing and coughing | Always | 45 | 22.5 |
| | Often | 74 | 37.0 |
| | Sometimes | 57 | 28.5 |
| | Never | 11 | 5.5 |
| | Not applicable | 7 | 3.5 |
| | Don't remember | 6 | 3.0 |
| 8. Wash hands after toilet | Always | 46 | 23.0 |
| | Fairly often | 78 | 39.0 |
| | Sometimes | 60 | 30.0 |
| | Never | 15 | 7.5 |
| | NA | 1 | .5 |
| 9. How many times do you change pads in menstrual cycle? | Always | 36 | 18 |
| | Fairly often | 67 | 33.0 |
| | Sometimes | 71 | 35.0 |
| | Never | 12 | 6.0 |
| | Not applicable | 12 | 6.0 |
| | Don't remember | 2 | 1.0 |
| 10. Wash hands after handling diapers | Always | 30 | 15.0 |
| | Faily often | 60 | 30.0 |
| | Sometimes | 67 | 33.5 |
| | Never | 21 | 10.5 |
| | Not applicable | 16 | 8.0 |
| | Don't remember | 6 | 3.0 |
| 11. Wash hands after handling rubbish | Always | 32 | 16.0 |
| | Fairly often | 73 | 36.5 |
| | Sometimes | 64 | 32.0 |
| | Never | 16 | 8.0 |
| | Not applicable | 8 | 4.0 |
| | Don't remember | 7 | 3.5 |
| 12. Wash hands after touching public installation | Always | 40 | 20.0 |
| | Fairly often | 71 | 35.5 |
| | Sometimes | 62 | 31.0 |
| | Never | 20 | 10.0 |
| | Not applicable | 4 | 2.0 |
| | Don't remember | 3 | 1.5 |
| 13. Wash hands before meal | Always | 32 | 16.0 |
| | Fairly often | 90 | 45.0 |
| | Some times | 56 | 28.0 |
| | Never | 17 | 8.5 |
| | NA | 5 | 2.5 |
| 14. Wash hands after home | Always | 44 | 22.0 |
| | Fairly often | 55 | 27.5 |
| | Some times | 82 | 41.0 |
| | Never | 17 | 8.5 |
| | NA | 2 | 1.0 |

| | | | |
|---|--|----|------|
| 15. Wash hands after having a contact with pets | Always | 32 | 16.0 |
| | Fairly often | 76 | 38.0 |
| | Some times | 70 | 35.0 |
| | Never | 14 | 7.0 |
| | NA | 8 | 4.0 |
| 16. Wash hands after having a contact with sick person | Always | 39 | 19.5 |
| | Fairly often | 80 | 40.0 |
| | Some time | 63 | 31.5 |
| | Never | 16 | 8.0 |
| | NA | 2 | 1.0 |
| 17. Wash hands after visiting public spaces | Always | 37 | 18.5 |
| | Fairly often | 66 | 33.0 |
| | Some times | 75 | 37.5 |
| | Never | 18 | 9.0 |
| | NA | 4 | 2.0 |
| 18. Use of Public Towels | Always | 32 | 16.0 |
| | Fairly often | 71 | 35.5 |
| | Some times | 66 | 33.0 |
| | Never | 12 | 6.0 |
| | Not applicable | 13 | 6.5 |
| | Don't remember | 6 | 3.0 |
| 19. Use soap for hands | Always | 35 | 17.5 |
| | Fairly often | 77 | 38.5 |
| | Some times | 73 | 36.5 |
| | Never | 13 | 6.5 |
| 20. Wear a mask | Always | 44 | 22.0 |
| | Fairly often | 61 | 30.5 |
| | Some times | 63 | 31.5 |
| | Never | 26 | 13.0 |
| | Not applicable | 6 | 3.0 |
| 21. When do u wear mask? | Had symptoms of Reproductive Infections | 35 | 17.5 |
| | Took care of patients with Reproductive Infections | 68 | 34.0 |
| | Visited hospital | 45 | 22.5 |
| | Prepared food | 16 | 8.0 |
| | Cleaned home | 6 | 3.0 |
| | Cleaned excreta | 5 | 2.5 |
| | Polluted Environment | 5 | 2.5 |
| | Other | 20 | 10.0 |
| 22. For how long do you wash hands? | For less than 10 secs | 37 | 18.5 |
| | Between 10 to 20 secs | 74 | 37.0 |
| | For more than 20 secs | 65 | 32.5 |
| | NA | 24 | 12.0 |
| 23. How often do you shower? | When I feel dirty | 52 | 26.0 |
| | 4 times or more in a week | 78 | 39.0 |
| | Less than 4 times in a week | 57 | 28.5 |
| | Every day | 13 | 6.5 |

Section 4 – Environmental Hygiene Practices

The female respondents were interrogated about the environmental hygiene practices (Table 5). It is worthy to note that 41.5% of the females fairly often put rubbish in bins. However, 11.0% of the females do not place rubbish in the bins at all. 40.5% of the females open the windows often for the maintenance of good indoor ventilation. According to 7.0% of females, it is not necessary to open the windows for proper ventilation.

Table 5: Environmental Hygiene Practices of female respondents

| Items | Categories | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|--|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| 24. Put rubbish in bin | Always | 45 | 22.5 |
| | Fairly often | 83 | 41.5 |
| | Some times | 47 | 23.5 |
| | Never | 22 | 11.0 |
| | Not applicable | 3 | 1.5 |
| 25. Maintenance of good indoor ventilation | Always | 29 | 14.5 |
| | Often | 81 | 40.5 |
| | Some times | 68 | 34.0 |
| | Not necessary | 14 | 7.0 |
| | Not applicable | 3 | 1.5 |
| | Don't remember | 1 | 0.5 |
| | Don't know | 4 | 2.0 |
| 26. Cleaning in past 3 days | Twice | 24 | 12.0 |
| | Once | 90 | 45.0 |
| | Never | 63 | 31.5 |
| | Not applicable | 19 | 9.5 |
| | Don't remember | 3 | 1.5 |
| | Don't know | 1 | .5 |

Relationship of Personal Hygiene Practices (PHP) with infertility issues

The relationship of Personal Hygiene Practices (PHP) with various infertility issues i.e., pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), fibroid uterus (FB), ovarian cyst (OC) and ectopic pregnancy (EP) was computed as shown in Table 6. Out of 26 items, non-significant relationship of self-reported questionnaire response with infertility issues was computed ($p>0.05$).

CONCLUSION

Majority of the female respondents facing infertility issues were in the age range of 26-34 years. The findings clearly indicated poor personal hygiene practices among female respondents. Most of the self-reported responses were found to be significantly associated with various infertility issues including pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), fibroid uterus (FU), ovarian cysts (OC), ectopic pregnancy (EP) and polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS).

Table 6: Relationship between PHP responses and various infertility issues

| Sections | Items | Options | PID (n) | FU (n) | OC (n) | EP (n) | PCOS (n) | Significance Test P value | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Knowledge | Item 1 | O1 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 5 | $X^2= 25.016$ $P=0.070$ Cramer's V=0.177 | |
| | | O2 | 20 | 19 | 12 | 14 | 11 | | |
| | | O3 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 15 | | |
| | | O4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | | |
| | | O5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | Item 2 | O1 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 6 | | $X^2= 39.894$ $P= 0.001$ Cramer's V= 0.223 |
| | | O2 | 17 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 8 | | |
| | | O3 | 20 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 14 | | |
| | | O4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 12 | | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | |
| Attitude | Item 3 | O1 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 12 | 6 | $X^2= 35.621$ $P= 0.000$ Cramer's V= 0.244 | |
| | | O2 | 23 | 24 | 12 | 14 | 12 | | |
| | | O3 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 13 | | |
| | | O4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 11 | | |
| | Item 4 | O1 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 7 | | $X^2= 62.686$ $P= 0.000$ Cramer's V= 0.280 |
| | | O2 | 22 | 15 | 10 | 18 | 6 | | |
| | | O3 | 19 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 13 | | |
| | | O4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 16 | | |
| | Item 5 | O1 | 10 | 12 | 7 | 13 | 7 | | $X^2= 34.220$ $P= 0.005$ Cramer's V= 0.207 |
| | | O2 | 10 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 12 | | |
| | | O3 | 27 | 15 | 13 | 8 | 10 | | |
| | | O4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 13 | | |
| Personal Hygiene Practices | Item 6 | O1 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 8 | $X^2= 24.676$ $P= 0.076$ Cramer's V= 0.176 | |
| | | O2 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 13 | | |
| | | O3 | 27 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 15 | | |
| | | O4 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | |
| | Item 7 | O1 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 7 | | $X^2= 35.356$ $P= 0.018$ Cramer's V= 0.210 |
| | | O2 | 18 | 18 | 12 | 13 | 13 | | |
| | | O3 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 12 | | |
| | | O4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | | |
| | | O5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | | |
| | | O6 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | |
| | Item 8 | O1 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 9 | | $X^2= 24.319$ $P= 0.83$ Cramer's V= 0.174 |
| | | O2 | 21 | 21 | 14 | 11 | 11 | | |
| | | O3 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 13 | | |
| | | O4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| | Item 10 | O1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 10 | 3 | | $X^2= 68.570$ $P= 0.000$ Cramer's V= 0.293 |
| | | O2 | 11 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 10 | | |
| | | O3 | 21 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 12 | | |
| | | O4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | | |
| | | O5 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | | |
| | | O6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | Item 11 | O1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 3 | | $X^2= 45.529$ $P= 0.001$ Cramer's V= 0.239 |
| | | O2 | 22 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 12 | | |
| | | O3 | 17 | 13 | 8 | 13 | 13 | | |
| O4 | | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | | | |
| O5 | | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|
| | | O6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | Item 12 | O1 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 7 | |
| | | O2 | 13 | 22 | 12 | 13 | 11 | |
| | | O3 | 21 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 11 | |
| | | O4 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| | | O6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | |
| | Item 13 | O1 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 10 | |
| | | O2 | 23 | 23 | 16 | 17 | 11 | |
| | | O3 | 16 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 12 | |
| | | O4 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | |
| | | O5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| | Item 14 | O1 | 5 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 4 | |
| | | O2 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 13 | |
| | | O3 | 29 | 13 | 11 | 12 | 17 | |
| | | O4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Item 15 | O1 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 3 | |
| | | O2 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 9 | 14 | |
| | | O3 | 22 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 13 | |
| | | O4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| | Item 16 | O1 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 7 | 7 | |
| | | O2 | 19 | 18 | 9 | 14 | 20 | |
| | | O3 | 22 | 11 | 14 | 9 | 7 | |
| | | O4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | Item 17 | O1 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 6 | |
| | | O2 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 15 | |
| | | O3 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 9 | 19 | |
| | | O4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | |
| | | O5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| | Item 18 | O1 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 5 | |
| | | O2 | 14 | 17 | 10 | 17 | 13 | |
| | | O3 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 13 | |
| | | O4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | |
| | | O5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| | | O6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | |
| | Item 19 | O1 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 2 | |
| | | O2 | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 16 | |
| | | O3 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 14 | |
| | | O4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | |
| | Item 20 | O1 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 2 | |
| | | O2 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 12 | |
| | | O3 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 15 | |
| | | O4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 13 | |
| | | O5 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Item 21 | O1 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 6 | |
| | | O2 | 18 | 13 | 10 | 16 | 11 | |
| | | O3 | 7 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 9 | |
| | | O4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 34.836 P= 0.021 Cramer's V= 0.209 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 26.087 P= 0.053 Cramer's V= 0.181 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 43.232 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.232 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 48.152 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.245 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 24.151 P= 0.086 Cramer's V= 0.174 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 16.373 P= 0.427 Cramer's V= 0.143 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 62.594 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.280 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 51.764 P= 0.001 Cramer's V= 0.254 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 46.924 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.242 |
| | | | | | | | | X ² = 72.617 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.301 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|--|--|
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | | |
| | | O6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | |
| | | O7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | O8 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | |
| | Item 22 | O1 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 8 | X ² = 33.893 P= 0.027 Cramer's V= 0.206 | |
| | | O2 | 18 | 21 | 9 | 10 | 13 | | |
| | | O3 | 19 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 11 | | |
| | | O4 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 9 | | |
| | Item 23 | O1 | 13 | 16 | 6 | 7 | 10 | X ² = 33.031 P= 0.007 Cramer's V= 0.203 | |
| | | O2 | 22 | 17 | 13 | 12 | 11 | | |
| | | O3 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 16 | | |
| | | O4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | | |
| Environmental Hygiene Practices | Item 24 | O1 | 4 | 15 | 13 | 7 | 6 | X ² = 34.420 P= 0.005 Cramer's V= 0.207 | |
| | | O2 | 20 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 21 | | |
| | | O3 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 8 | | |
| | | O4 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | | |
| | | O5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | | |
| | | Item 25 | O1 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 5 | X ² = 39.432 P= 0.025 Cramer's V= 0.222 |
| | | | O2 | 21 | 17 | 11 | 16 | 16 | |
| | | | O3 | 22 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 14 | |
| | | | O4 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | |
| | | | O5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | | O6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| | | | O7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | Item 26 | O1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 1 | X ² = 56.732 P= 0.000 Cramer's V= 0.266 |
| | | | O2 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 16 | |
| | | O3 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 11 | | |
| | | O4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | | |
| | | O5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | | O6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |

PID= Pelvic Inflammatory Disease, FU= Fibroid Uterus, OC= Ovarian Cyst, EP= Ectopic Pregnancy, PCOS= Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome, O1-O8 = Various Options with numbers

STATEMENTS & DECLARATIONS

Consent to Publish

All authors agreed with the content and gave explicit consent to submit the manuscript for publication.

Author's Contribution

Aiza Sanam, Nadia Ghani contributed to the study conception and design. Babra Shoukat co-supervised the study. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Aiza Sanam. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Houda Javed. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that no funds, grants, or other support were received during the preparation of this manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Availability of Data and Materials

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the staff of District Headquarter Hospital, Okara and special thanks to Department of Environmental Science, Lahore College for Women University for all the technical support and advice throughout the research.

References

- 1) Allegranzi, B., Bagheri Nejad, S., Combescure, C., Graafmans, W., Attar, H., Donaldson, L. and Pittet, D. 2011. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet*, 377(9761):228–41.
- 2) Andersen, B. M. 2019. Personal hygiene and care of patients. *Prevention and Control of Infections in Hospitals*, pp. 255–264.
- 3) Anthonj, C., Githinji, S., and Kistemann, T. 2018. The impact of water on health and ill-health in a sub-Saharan African wetland: Exploring both sides of the coin. *Science of the Total Environment*, 624: 1411-1420.
- 4) Anwar, H. N., Zafar, M. I. and Hussain, S. 2006. Health screening of primary school children: a case study of district Sargodha-Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences*, 4:40–47.
- 5) Appiah-Brempong, E., Harris, M.J., Newton, S. and Gulis, G. 2018. A framework for designing hand hygiene educational interventions in schools. *International Journal of Public Health*, 63(2):251–259.
- 6) Atlam, S.A., Elsabagh, H. M. and Shehab, N. S. 2016. Knowledge, attitude and practice of Tanta University medical students towards hepatitis B and C. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 4(3):749–756.
- 7) Batool, S. S. and de Visser, R. O. 2014. Psychosocial and contextual determinants of health among infertile women: a cross-cultural study. *Psychology Health & Medicine*, 19(6):673–679.
- 8) Batool, S. S. and de Visser, R. O. 2016. Experiences of infertility in British and Pakistani women: a cross-cultural qualitative analysis. *Health Care for Women International*, 37:180–196.
- 9) Bhatti, L. I. and Fikree, F. F. 2002. Health-seeking behavior of Karachi women with reproductive tract infections. *Social Science and Medicine*, 54(1):105–17.
- 10) Campbell-Lendrum, D. and Prüss-Ustün, A. 2019. Climate change, air pollution and noncommunicable diseases,” *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 97(2): 160-161.
- 11) Ceballo, R., Graham, E. T. and Hart, J. 2015. Silent and infertile: an intersectional analysis of the experiences of socioeconomically diverse African American women with infertility. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 39(4):1–15.
- 12) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019). *STDs in Women and Infants - 2018 Sexually Transmitted Diseases Surveillance*.
- 13) Daibes, M. A., Safadi, R. R., Athamneh, T., Anees, I. F. and Constantino, E. 2017. Half a woman, half a man; that is how they make me feel': a qualitative study of rural Jordanian women's experience of infertility. *Culture, Health and Sexuality*, 20(5):516–530.

- 14) de Kok, B. C. 2009. Automatically you become a polygamist': "culture" and "norms" as resources for normalization and managing accountability in talk about responses to infertility. *Health (Irvine Calif)*. 13:197–217.
- 15) de Kok, B. C. 2013. Infertility and relationships: the importance of constructions in context. *Families, Relationships and Societies*, 2:23–42.
- 16) Dhont, N. 2011. Clinical, epidemiological and socio-cultural aspects of infertility in resource-poor settings. Evidence from Rwanda. *Facts, views and Vision in ObGyn*. 3:77–88
- 17) Donkor ES, Naab F, Kussiwaah DY. "I am anxious and desperate": psychological experiences of women with infertility in the Greater Accra region, Ghana. *Fertil Res Pract*. 2017; 3:6
- 18) Donkor, E. S. 2008. Socio-cultural perceptions of infertility in Ghana. *African Journal of Nursing and Midwifery*, 10:22–34
- 19) Dyer, S. J., Abrahams, N., Hoffman, M. and Van Der, S. Z. M. 2002. Men leave me as I cannot have children: women's experiences with involuntary childlessness. *Human Development Report*, 17:1663–1668
- 20) Elhoussein, O. G., Ahmed, M. A., Suliman, S. O., Yahya, I. I. and Adam, I. 2019. Epidemiology of infertility and characteristics of infertile couples requesting assisted reproduction in a low-resource setting in Africa, Sudan. *Fertility Research and Practice*, 5: 7.
- 21) European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology. ESHRE: A Policy Audit on Fertility: Analysis of 9 EU Countries. March 2017. Available online: <https://www.eshre.eu/Press-Room/Resources> (accessed on 13 February 2021)
- 22) Fehintola, A. O., Fehintola, F. O., Ogunlaja, O. A., Awotunde, T. O., Ogunlaja, I. P. and Onwudiegwu, U. 2017. Social meaning and consequences of infertility in Ogbomoso, Nigeria. *Sudan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 12:63.
- 23) Gerrits, T. 1997. Social and cultural aspects of infertility in Mozambique. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 31:39–48.
- 24) Grota, P. G. and Grant, P.S. 2018. Environmental infection prevention. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*, 41(1): 38–46.
- 25) Hamed, A. G. 2015. The Impact of Genital Hygiene Practices on the Occurrence of Vaginal Infection and the Development of a Nursing Fact Sheet as Prevention Massage for Vulnrable Women. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 4(6): 55–64.
- 26) Hamed, A. G. 2015. The Impact of Genital Hygiene Practices on the Occurrence of Vaginal Infection and the Development of a Nursing Fact Sheet as Prevention Massage for Vulnrable Women. *IOSR Journal of Nursing and Health Science*, 4(6): 55–64.
- 27) Hilber, A. M., Hull, T. H., Preston-Whyte, E., Bagnol, B., Smit, J., Wacharasin, C., & Widyantoro, N. (2010). A cross cultural study of vaginal practices and sexuality: Implications for sexual health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 70(3): 392–400.
- 28) Hogan, D. R., Stevens, G.A., Hosseinpoor, A.R. and Boerma, T. 2018. Monitoring universal health coverage within the sustainable development goals: development and baseline data for an index of essential health services. *The Lancet Global Health*. 6(2): e152–e168.
- 29) Ibisomi, L. and Mudege, N. N. 2014. Childlessness in Nigeria: perceptions and acceptability. *Culture, Health and Sexuality*, 16:61–75
- 30) Kaya, Y., Beji, N. K., Aydin, Y. and Hassa, H. 2016. The effect of health-promoting lifestyle education on the treatment of unexplained female infertility. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 207: 109–114

- 31) Levanova, E. A., Kokorina, O. R., Nikitin, Y. V., Perepelkina, T. V. and Segodina, P.A. 2016. Concept of educational assistance to health protection of the individual. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 8(3):122.
- 32) Mascarenhas, M. N., Flaxman, S. R., Boerma, T., Vanderpoel, S. and Stevens, G. A. 2012. National, regional, and global trends in infertility prevalence since 1990: a systematic analysis of 277 health surveys. *PLoS Medicine*, 9: e1001356.
- 33) McKay, F. H., Singh, A., Singh, S., Good, S. and Osborne, R. H. 2016. Street vendors in Patna, India: Understanding the socioeconomic profile, livelihood and hygiene practices. *Food Control*, 70: 281–285.
- 34) Mohiuddin, S., Nisar, N. and Dawani, N. 2015. Dental caries status among 6 and 12 years old school children of Karachi city. *Journal of Pakistan Dental Association*, 24(1):39–45.
- 35) Odonkor, S. T., Kitcher, J., Okyere, M., Mahami, T. 2019. Self-Assessment of Hygiene Practices towards Predictive and Preventive Medicine Intervention: A Case Study of University Students in Ghana. *BioMed Research International*. 2019: 3868537
- 36) Pradhan, N.A., Mughis, W., Ali, T. S., Naseem, M. and Karmaliani, R. 2020. School-based interventions to promote personal and environmental hygiene practices among children in Pakistan: protocol for a mixed methods study. *BMC Public Health*, 20:481.
- 37) Rodrigues, A. D. O., Gandra, E. A., Conceição, R. D. C. D. S. D., Silveira, D. R. and Timm, C. D. 2018. Good hygienic practices and identification of contamination sources in hotel food and beverage sector. *Food Science and Technology*, 38: 154-159.
- 38) Sarkar, M. 2013. Personal hygiene among primary school children living in a slum of Kolkata, India. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, 54(3):153.
- 39) Sevil, S., Kevser, O., Aleattin, U., Dilek, A., and Tijen, N. 2013. An Evaluation of the Relationship between Genital Hygiene Practices, Genital Infection. *Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 03(06): 187.
- 40) Sevil, S., Kevser, O., Aleattin, U., Dilek, A., and Tijen, N. 2013. An Evaluation of the Relationship between Genital Hygiene Practices, Genital Infection. *Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 03(06): 187.
- 41) Simos, J., Naissem, F.B., Naissem, J. et al. 2017. Healthy cities in Africa: A continent of difference, *Healthy Cities: The theory, Policy, and Practice of Value-Based Urban Planning*, pp. 89–132.
- 42) Tomaszewska, M., Trafialek, J., Suebpongsang, P. and Kolanowski, W. 2018. Food hygiene knowledge and practice of consumers in Poland and in Thailand-A survey. *Food Control*, 85: 76-84.
- 43) Trampuz, A. and Widmer, A. F. 2004. Hand hygiene: a frequently missed lifesaving opportunity during patient care. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, 79:109–16.
- 44) Ullah, I., Sarwar, G., Aziz, S. and Khan, M. H. 2009. Intestinal worm infestation in primary school children in rural Peshawar. *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences*, 7(2):132–36.
- 45) UNICEF. 2014. Motivating Better Hygiene Behaviour: Importance for Public Health Mechanisms of Change. 2014. Retrieved from <http://www.unicef.org/wash/files/behav.pdf>
- 46) Vivas, A., Gelaye, B., Aboset, N., Kumie, A., Berhane, Y. and Williams, M.A. 2010. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of hygiene among school children in Angolela, Ethiopia. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, 51(2):73.
- 47) Wasserheit, J. N., Harris, J. R., Chakraborty, J., Kay, B. A. and Mason, K. J. 1989. Reproductive tract infections in a family planning population in rural Bangladesh. *Studies in Family Planning*, 20(2):69–80.
- 48) Webber, A., Baker, J., Gaudry, L. and Swatuk, L. A. 2018. Water as threat and solution: improving health outcomes in developing country contexts, In: *Water, Energy, Food and People Across the Global South*, pp. 187–206, Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, 2018.

- 49) Yadav, R. N., Joshi, S., Poudel, R. and Pandeya, P. 2017. Knowledge, attitude, and practice on menstrual hygiene management among school adolescents. Journal of Nepal Health Research Council, 15(3): 212-216.
- 50) Younas, S., Tahira, S. A. and Farooq, M. U. 2023. Assessment of heavy metal contamination in vegetables collected from selected localities of Okara, Pakistan. Advancements in Life Sciences. 10(2): 167-173.
- 51) Younesi, E., Golubnitschaja, O., Iso, H., Inadera, H., Trovato, G. M. and Taylor, J. L. 2018. Advances in preventive medicine. Advances in Preventive Medicine, p. 321