Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A DRIVER OF PRODUCT INNOVATION IN INDUSTRIAL MARKETS: MANAGERIAL INSIGHTS FROM TECHNICAL PRODUCT COMMERCIALIZATION

BAYRAM TÜRKOĞLU

Marketing & Sales Manager, SAR Makina Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş., Kocaeli, Turkey.

Abstract

Product innovation in industrial markets has traditionally been examined through the lens of engineering capability, technological advancement, and research and development intensity. While these dimensions remain critical, they no longer sufficiently explain why certain technically sound products achieve sustained market success whereas others fail to generate commercial traction. This study argues that the missing link lies in the systematic use of commercial intelligence as a managerial driver of product innovation. Rather than treating innovation as a predominantly technical activity, this paper conceptualizes product innovation as a commercially informed decision-making process embedded within the realities of industrial markets. The article introduces commercial intelligence as a distinct managerial construct that integrates market signals, customer purchasing behavior, competitive pricing dynamics, and commercialization constraints into the product innovation process. In contrast to market intelligence or competitive intelligence alone, commercial intelligence is defined as an actionable synthesis of external commercial data that directly informs innovation-related managerial choices. Within industrial and energy-oriented markets—where products are technically complex, demand is derived, and purchasing decisions are highly professionalized—commercial intelligence plays a decisive role in shaping which product features are prioritized, how value propositions are articulated, and how innovations are aligned with real market demand. Drawing on a business management perspective, the study develops a conceptual framework that explains how commercial intelligence influences product innovation at multiple stages of technical product commercialization. The framework highlights the interaction between pricing pressures, RFQ-driven procurement environments, customer-specific technical requirements, and competitive benchmarking, demonstrating how these factors collectively guide innovation decisions beyond engineering considerations. By emphasizing managerial judgment and strategic alignment, the paper positions commercial intelligence as a mechanism that translates technical capability into market-relevant innovation. The study further explores the implications of commercial intelligence for managerial decision-making in industrial firms operating across international markets. It shows how managers leverage commercial intelligence to reduce innovation uncertainty, improve product–market fit, and allocate resources toward commercially viable innovation initiatives. The analysis underscores that successful product innovation in industrial contexts is not merely a function of superior technology, but of the organization's ability to interpret commercial signals and embed them into innovation strategies. This paper contributes to the product innovation and business management literature by reframing technical product commercialization as a commercially driven innovation process. It offers theoretical insights for scholars examining innovation in B2B and industrial markets, while providing practical guidance for managers seeking to enhance innovation performance through structured commercial intelligence practices. By integrating innovation, commercialization, and managerial decision-making, the study advances a more holistic understanding of how industrial firms can achieve sustainable competitive advantage through commercially informed product innovation.

Keywords: Commercial Intelligence; Product Innovation; Technical Product Commercialization; Industrial Markets; Business Management.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

1. INTRODUCTION

Product innovation has long been recognized as a central driver of competitive advantage in industrial markets. Firms operating in energy, manufacturing, and other technology-intensive sectors consistently invest in engineering capabilities, process optimization, and research and development to differentiate their offerings and respond to evolving customer needs. However, despite comparable levels of technical competence and innovation investment, industrial firms often experience significantly different commercialization outcomes. Some technically advanced products achieve rapid market acceptance and sustained profitability, while others struggle to gain traction despite meeting or exceeding technical specifications. This persistent divergence raises an important managerial and academic question: why do technically sound innovations succeed in some cases and fail in others?

Traditional innovation research has largely emphasized technological novelty, engineering performance, and R&D intensity as primary explanations for product innovation success. While these factors remain essential, they are increasingly insufficient in industrial markets characterized by intensified global competition, professionalized procurement practices, and price-sensitive purchasing environments. In such contexts, innovation outcomes are shaped not only by what firms are technically capable of producing, but also by how effectively they interpret and respond to commercial signals embedded in the market. As a result, innovation can no longer be viewed solely as a technical process; it must be understood as a commercially informed managerial activity.

Industrial markets present a distinctive environment in which product innovation unfolds under conditions of derived demand, long sales cycles, customized specifications, and formalized purchasing mechanisms such as requests for quotation (RFQs) and competitive bidding processes. Customers in these markets—often original equipment manufacturers or large industrial buyers—evaluate products based on a combination of technical compliance, cost structures, delivery reliability, and long-term supplier viability. Consequently, innovation decisions that ignore commercial realities risk misalignment with actual purchasing behavior, regardless of technical merit. This creates a growing need for managerial approaches that systematically integrate commercial considerations into the innovation process.

Against this backdrop, the concept of commercial intelligence has gained increasing relevance in business management practice, yet remains underexplored in the academic literature on product innovation. Commercial intelligence refers to the structured interpretation of market-based information—including pricing dynamics, customer buying patterns, competitor positioning, and commercialization constraints—to support strategic and operational decision-making. Unlike broader notions of market or competitive intelligence, commercial intelligence is explicitly action-oriented and directly linked to revenue generation, margin sustainability, and market access. In industrial settings, where innovation investments are substantial and mistakes are costly, commercial intelligence becomes a critical mechanism for reducing uncertainty and guiding innovation

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

priorities. Despite its growing practical importance, commercial intelligence has rarely been positioned as a central driver of product innovation in existing research. Studies often treat innovation and commercialization as sequential processes, with innovation preceding market considerations. This separation underestimates the extent to which commercial signals shape innovation decisions from the earliest stages of product development. In reality, managers continuously evaluate whether technical features justify price premiums, whether innovations align with customer procurement logic, and whether competitive pressures necessitate cost-driven design trade-offs. These evaluations reflect the ongoing influence of commercial intelligence on innovation choices.

This paper addresses this gap by reframing product innovation in industrial markets as a commercially informed managerial process. It argues that commercial intelligence plays a decisive role in determining not only how products are commercialized, but also which innovations are pursued, refined, or abandoned. By focusing on technical product commercialization, the study highlights how managers translate external commercial signals into internal innovation decisions that shape product architecture, feature prioritization, and value propositions. In doing so, the paper moves beyond technology-centric views of innovation and emphasizes the strategic judgment exercised by managers operating at the intersection of engineering capability and market demand.

The purpose of this study is threefold. First, it seeks to conceptually define commercial intelligence as a distinct construct within business management and clarify its relevance to product innovation in industrial markets. Second, it examines the mechanisms through which commercial intelligence influences managerial decision-making across the product innovation and commercialization process. Third, it proposes a conceptual model that illustrates how commercial intelligence connects market signals, innovation activities, and commercialization outcomes in technical product environments. Through this approach, the paper aims to contribute to both innovation theory and managerial practice by offering a more integrated understanding of how industrial firms can achieve innovation success under commercial constraints.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows. The next section reviews the conceptual foundations of product innovation in industrial markets, highlighting the limitations of technology-centered perspectives. Section three introduces and defines commercial intelligence within a business management framework, distinguishing it from related intelligence constructs.

Section four examines the strategic relationship between commercial intelligence and product innovation, while section five focuses specifically on its role in technical product commercialization. Section six explores managerial decision-making processes in innovation-oriented industrial firms. Section seven presents a conceptual model of commercial intelligence–driven product innovation. The final sections discuss managerial implications, research limitations, and directions for future inquiry before concluding with a summary of key insights.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

2. CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND: PRODUCT INNOVATION IN INDUSTRIAL MARKETS

Product innovation in industrial markets differs fundamentally from innovation in consumer-oriented contexts. Industrial products are typically characterized by technical complexity, customized specifications, long development cycles, and close integration with customers' production systems. As a result, innovation is rarely driven by isolated technological breakthroughs; instead, it emerges from continuous interaction between engineering capabilities, operational constraints, and market requirements. Understanding product innovation in industrial settings therefore requires a conceptual lens that extends beyond traditional R&D-centric models.

Existing literature has largely conceptualized product innovation as a process rooted in technological advancement and engineering problem-solving. Within this view, innovation success is often associated with superior technical performance, novelty, or functional differentiation. While such factors are undeniably important in industrial markets, they provide only a partial explanation of innovation outcomes. Industrial buyers rarely adopt products based solely on technical excellence; purchasing decisions are influenced by cost structures, compatibility with existing systems, supplier reliability, and long-term commercial considerations. Consequently, innovation that is technologically impressive but commercially misaligned may fail to achieve market adoption.

One defining feature of industrial markets is the prevalence of derived demand. Demand for industrial products is shaped by downstream industries, regulatory environments, and macroeconomic conditions rather than by end-user preferences alone. This creates a context in which innovation priorities are indirectly determined by broader value chains. For example, a technical improvement in an industrial component may offer marginal performance gains, yet be rejected by customers if it increases total system cost or complicates procurement processes. In such cases, innovation decisions that ignore the commercial logic of the value chain risk producing technically viable but economically unattractive products.

Another distinguishing characteristic of industrial markets is the formalization of purchasing processes. Procurement decisions are frequently governed by structured mechanisms such as RFQs, tendering systems, and long-term supply agreements. These mechanisms impose explicit constraints on pricing, delivery schedules, and technical specifications, thereby shaping the parameters within which innovation can occur. Product innovation in this environment is not solely a creative exercise; it is a constrained optimization problem in which technical possibilities must be reconciled with commercial feasibility. This reality challenges innovation models that treat commercialization as a downstream activity rather than an integral part of the innovation process.

Furthermore, industrial innovation is often incremental rather than radical. Unlike consumer markets, where disruptive innovations may rapidly redefine categories, industrial firms typically pursue incremental improvements that enhance performance, reduce cost, or increase reliability. These improvements are closely tied to customer

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

feedback, operational efficiency, and competitive benchmarking. As a result, innovation trajectories are shaped by ongoing commercial interactions rather than by isolated R&D initiatives. This incremental nature of innovation underscores the importance of managerial judgment in selecting which technical enhancements are worth pursuing from a commercial standpoint.

The organizational context of industrial firms further complicates the innovation process. Product innovation typically involves cross-functional collaboration among engineering, production, sales, and marketing units. While engineering teams may prioritize technical optimization, commercial teams focus on customer acceptance, pricing competitiveness, and market access. Misalignment between these perspectives can lead to innovation inefficiencies, delayed commercialization, or suboptimal product designs. Traditional innovation frameworks often underestimate the managerial challenge of coordinating these functions under commercial pressure.

In recent years, scholars have increasingly acknowledged the need to integrate market considerations into innovation research. Concepts such as customer-driven innovation and market-oriented product development have sought to bridge the gap between technology and demand. However, these approaches frequently emphasize customer needs without fully accounting for the broader commercial environment in which innovation decisions are made. In industrial markets, customer requirements are filtered through procurement rules, competitive dynamics, and margin constraints, all of which influence innovation outcomes. A more comprehensive conceptualization is therefore required—one that captures how managers interpret and act upon complex commercial signals. Within this context, the limitations of technology-centered views of product innovation become evident. By treating innovation as primarily an engineering function, such views fail to explain why similar technical solutions yield different market results across firms. They also overlook the role of managerial decision-making in selecting innovation paths that balance technical ambition with commercial viability. Industrial product innovation is not merely about what can be developed, but about what should be developed given market conditions.

This conceptual background highlights the need for a management-oriented perspective that places commercial considerations at the core of product innovation analysis. Rather than viewing commercialization as a final step following innovation, industrial firms increasingly experience innovation as an iterative process shaped by continuous exposure to market signals. These signals inform not only how products are sold, but also how they are designed, positioned, and refined. Recognizing this dynamic is essential for understanding innovation success in industrial markets.

Building on these insights, the next section introduces commercial intelligence as a managerial construct capable of explaining how firms systematically integrate commercial signals into product innovation decisions. By conceptualizing commercial intelligence within a business management framework, the study advances a more realistic and practice-oriented understanding of innovation in technical product environments.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

3. DEFINING COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE IN BUSINESS MANAGEMENT

In business management research, the concept of intelligence has traditionally been associated with market intelligence, competitive intelligence, and customer intelligence. These constructs emphasize the systematic collection and analysis of information related to markets, competitors, and customer behavior. While each provides valuable insights, they do not fully capture the decision-oriented commercial realities faced by managers in industrial markets. As a result, innovation and commercialization decisions are often informed by fragmented intelligence inputs rather than an integrated managerial understanding of commercial feasibility. This section introduces commercial intelligence as a distinct and necessary construct within business management.

Commercial intelligence can be defined as the structured interpretation and application of market-based commercial signals to support managerial decision-making related to value creation, pricing, and market access. Unlike broader intelligence concepts that focus on information gathering, commercial intelligence emphasizes actionability. It is concerned not merely with understanding markets, but with translating that understanding into decisions that directly affect revenue potential, margin sustainability, and the commercial success of products. In industrial contexts, where innovation investments are capital-intensive and purchasing decisions are formalized, this distinction becomes particularly significant.

A key feature of commercial intelligence is its integrative nature. Rather than operating as a standalone analytical activity, commercial intelligence synthesizes multiple sources of external information, including customer purchasing criteria, price sensitivity, competitor cost structures, procurement mechanisms, and contractual constraints. This synthesis enables managers to evaluate innovation opportunities through a commercial lens, assessing not only whether a product can be developed, but whether it can be profitably commercialized under prevailing market conditions. In this sense, commercial intelligence functions as a bridge between technical capability and market viability.

It is important to distinguish commercial intelligence from related constructs. Market intelligence typically focuses on demand trends, customer segmentation, and market size estimation. Competitive intelligence concentrates on competitors' strategies, capabilities, and positioning. Sales intelligence often centers on customer interactions, pipeline data, and account-level insights. While each contributes to managerial understanding, none explicitly addresses the commercialization constraints that shape innovation decisions in industrial markets. Commercial intelligence differs by prioritizing the evaluation of commercial trade-offs, such as price–performance relationships, customization costs, and the impact of procurement practices on product design.

Another defining characteristic of commercial intelligence is its temporal orientation. Whereas some intelligence activities are retrospective or descriptive, commercial intelligence is forward-looking and decision-driven. Managers use commercial intelligence to anticipate how markets will respond to specific innovation choices, such as introducing new features, modifying product specifications, or repositioning existing

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

offerings. This anticipatory dimension is critical in industrial markets, where product development cycles are long and errors in innovation direction can result in significant sunk costs. Commercial intelligence thus serves as a mechanism for reducing uncertainty and guiding strategic commitment.

In industrial firms, commercial intelligence is closely tied to managerial roles that operate at the intersection of technical and commercial functions. Product managers, sales leaders, and senior executives frequently rely on commercial intelligence to mediate between engineering priorities and market expectations.

For example, decisions regarding whether to pursue incremental improvements or more substantial design changes often depend on assessments of customer willingness to pay, competitive benchmarks, and contractual requirements. These assessments are not purely technical or market-driven; they reflect a managerial synthesis of commercial realities.

The relevance of commercial intelligence is further amplified in international industrial markets. Firms operating across borders face heterogeneous pricing regimes, regulatory standards, and customer expectations. Innovation decisions that are commercially viable in one market may be unsustainable in another due to differences in procurement practices or cost sensitivities.

Commercial intelligence enables managers to navigate these complexities by contextualizing innovation strategies within specific market environments. This capability is particularly important for export-oriented firms, where product innovation must balance standardization efficiencies with localized commercial demands.

Despite its practical importance, commercial intelligence has received limited explicit attention in academic innovation research. Existing studies often embed commercial considerations within broader discussions of market orientation or strategic alignment, without isolating the distinct mechanisms through which commercial signals influence innovation decisions. This conceptual ambiguity limits the ability of scholars to explain variation in innovation outcomes among firms with similar technological capabilities. By articulating commercial intelligence as a separate construct, this study contributes to a more precise understanding of how managerial cognition and decision-making shape product innovation.

In summary, commercial intelligence represents a managerial capability that enables firms to align product innovation with the commercial logic of industrial markets. It extends beyond information collection to encompass interpretation, judgment, and strategic choice.

By positioning commercial intelligence at the center of innovation decision-making, this paper lays the groundwork for analyzing how technical product commercialization is influenced by commercially informed management practices. The next section builds on this definition by examining the strategic link between commercial intelligence and product innovation in industrial contexts.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

4. THE STRATEGIC LINK BETWEEN COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE AND PRODUCT INNOVATION

The relationship between commercial intelligence and product innovation in industrial markets is inherently strategic. Product innovation decisions are rarely isolated technical choices; they are strategic commitments that allocate organizational resources, shape competitive positioning, and influence long-term profitability. In this context, commercial intelligence functions as a guiding mechanism that aligns innovation initiatives with market realities. Rather than serving as an auxiliary input, commercial intelligence actively shapes the direction, scope, and intensity of innovation efforts.

At a strategic level, commercial intelligence informs the selection of innovation priorities by clarifying which technical improvements create meaningful market value. Industrial firms frequently face a broad range of potential innovation opportunities, from incremental performance enhancements to more substantial design modifications. However, not all innovations yield proportional commercial returns. Through systematic analysis of customer purchasing behavior, price sensitivity, and competitive benchmarks, commercial intelligence helps managers distinguish between technically attractive ideas and commercially viable innovation paths. This distinction is critical in environments where innovation budgets are constrained and opportunity costs are high.

Commercial intelligence also plays a decisive role in balancing differentiation and cost competitiveness. In industrial markets, customers often demand higher performance while simultaneously exerting pressure on prices. This tension creates a strategic dilemma for managers: how to innovate without eroding margins or exceeding acceptable cost thresholds. Commercial intelligence enables firms to navigate this dilemma by providing insights into which product attributes justify price premiums and which features are perceived as commoditized. Innovation strategies informed by such insights are more likely to achieve sustainable differentiation rather than incremental complexity.

Another strategic dimension of commercial intelligence lies in its influence on timing and sequencing of innovation. Industrial innovation unfolds over extended time horizons, and premature or delayed market entry can significantly affect commercial outcomes. By monitoring market signals such as shifts in customer requirements, emerging procurement practices, and competitor moves, commercial intelligence supports managerial decisions regarding when to introduce new product variants or upgrades. This temporal alignment enhances the likelihood that innovations reach the market at moments of maximum commercial relevance.

The strategic link between commercial intelligence and product innovation is particularly evident in RFQ-driven markets. In these environments, innovation decisions are shaped by recurring exposure to formalized customer requirements and competitive pricing benchmarks. Managers continuously interpret RFQ data to identify patterns in technical specifications, acceptable cost levels, and value drivers. Over time, these patterns inform strategic innovation choices, such as standardizing certain features, modularizing product architectures, or redesigning components to improve cost efficiency. In this way,

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

commercial intelligence derived from procurement interactions directly influences innovation strategy. Furthermore, commercial intelligence contributes to strategic coherence across organizational functions. Product innovation in industrial firms requires coordination among engineering, production, sales, and marketing units. Without a shared understanding of commercial priorities, innovation efforts risk fragmentation and misalignment. Commercial intelligence provides a common reference point that facilitates cross-functional dialogue, enabling teams to align technical development with market expectations. This alignment strengthens strategic consistency and reduces the likelihood of innovation initiatives that fail to resonate commercially.

From a competitive strategy perspective, commercial intelligence allows firms to anticipate and respond to rival innovation moves. By analyzing competitors' pricing strategies, product configurations, and market positioning, managers can adjust innovation trajectories to defend or enhance competitive advantage. This proactive use of commercial intelligence shifts innovation from a reactive process to a strategic tool for market leadership. Firms that effectively integrate commercial intelligence into innovation strategy are better positioned to exploit gaps in competitor offerings and respond to evolving customer preferences.

Importantly, the strategic role of commercial intelligence extends beyond individual product decisions to influence broader innovation portfolios. Managers use commercial intelligence to assess the relative attractiveness of different innovation initiatives and allocate resources accordingly. This portfolio perspective ensures that innovation investments are balanced across short-term commercial opportunities and longer-term strategic objectives. By grounding portfolio decisions in commercial intelligence, firms reduce the risk of overinvesting in innovations with limited market potential.

In summary, commercial intelligence serves as a strategic driver that connects product innovation with market dynamics in industrial contexts. It informs which innovations are pursued, how they are designed, and when they are introduced. By embedding commercial intelligence into strategic innovation decision-making, industrial firms enhance their ability to create products that are not only technically robust but also commercially successful. The next section builds on this strategic perspective by examining how commercial intelligence operates within the specific process of technical product commercialization.

5. COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE IN TECHNICAL PRODUCT COMMERCIALIZATION

Technical product commercialization represents one of the most critical and risk-intensive phases of the innovation process in industrial markets. At this stage, technical concepts and engineering solutions must be transformed into market-ready offerings that satisfy customer requirements, comply with procurement constraints, and achieve acceptable financial performance. While product innovation research often treats commercialization as a downstream activity, this section argues that commercial intelligence is actively embedded throughout the commercialization process and fundamentally shapes its outcomes.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

In industrial markets, technical product commercialization is rarely linear. Products are introduced into environments characterized by professional buyers, formalized purchasing procedures, and intense price competition. Commercial intelligence plays a central role in navigating these conditions by enabling managers to interpret how technical features translate into perceived customer value. For example, a performance improvement that appears significant from an engineering standpoint may have limited commercial relevance if customers prioritize cost stability or standardization. Commercial intelligence helps managers identify such mismatches early and adjust commercialization strategies accordingly.

One of the most visible manifestations of commercial intelligence in technical product commercialization is observed in RFQ-driven procurement environments. RFQs provide a continuous stream of structured commercial data, including price benchmarks, specification requirements, volume expectations, and delivery conditions. Managers who systematically analyze this information gain insights into which technical attributes are consistently valued by customers and which are treated as baseline expectations. Over time, these insights inform decisions regarding product configuration, standardization, and customization, directly influencing commercialization success.

Commercial intelligence also influences how technical products are positioned within the market. In industrial contexts, positioning is not solely a marketing communication exercise; it reflects strategic decisions about which value dimensions are emphasized during commercialization. Managers rely on commercial intelligence to determine whether products should be positioned around performance superiority, cost efficiency, reliability, or application-specific expertise. These positioning choices, in turn, shape product documentation, pricing strategies, and sales narratives, reinforcing alignment between innovation and market demand.

Pricing represents another critical interface between commercial intelligence and technical product commercialization. Industrial customers often evaluate products through total cost of ownership rather than unit price alone. Commercial intelligence enables managers to assess how pricing structures interact with product features, service offerings, and lifecycle costs. By understanding customer price sensitivity and competitor cost positions, firms can design commercialization strategies that support both market acceptance and margin objectives. Without such intelligence, technically innovative products risk being priced out of the market or eroding profitability.

The role of commercial intelligence becomes even more pronounced in international commercialization contexts. Export-oriented industrial firms face heterogeneous market conditions, including varying procurement norms, regulatory requirements, and competitive landscapes. Commercial intelligence allows managers to adapt commercialization strategies to local conditions without fundamentally altering product architecture. This adaptability is essential for scaling technical products across multiple markets while maintaining consistency and cost efficiency. Commercialization decisions informed by localized commercial intelligence are therefore more resilient and responsive.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

Commercial intelligence further shapes decisions regarding product variants and incremental innovation during commercialization. As products are introduced to the market, managers receive feedback through sales interactions, customer negotiations, and competitive responses. Commercial intelligence helps interpret this feedback in a structured manner, distinguishing between isolated customer requests and broader market trends. This interpretation guides decisions about whether to introduce new variants, refine existing features, or discontinue underperforming configurations. In this way, commercialization becomes an iterative learning process driven by commercial signals.

Importantly, commercial intelligence also influences organizational learning during technical product commercialization. Firms that systematically capture and analyze commercialization data develop a deeper understanding of how innovation choices affect market outcomes. This learning enhances future innovation decisions by reducing reliance on intuition alone and strengthening evidence-based management practices. Over time, commercial intelligence becomes embedded in organizational routines, reinforcing a culture of commercially informed innovation.

In summary, technical product commercialization is not merely the execution phase of innovation, but a dynamic process shaped by continuous exposure to commercial intelligence. By integrating commercial intelligence into commercialization decisions, managers can align technical offerings with market expectations, improve pricing effectiveness, and enhance adaptability across markets. The next section builds on this discussion by examining how managers use commercial intelligence to support decision-making in innovation-oriented industrial firms.

6. MANAGERIAL DECISION-MAKING IN INNOVATION-ORIENTED INDUSTRIAL FIRMS

Managerial decision-making occupies a central position in innovation-oriented industrial firms, particularly where product innovation is closely tied to commercialization outcomes. Unlike consumer markets, where innovation decisions may be driven by brand positioning or mass-market appeal, industrial innovation requires managers to reconcile technical feasibility with complex commercial constraints. In this environment, commercial intelligence serves as a critical input that shapes how managers evaluate innovation opportunities, assess risks, and commit organizational resources.

Innovation-related decisions in industrial firms are typically characterized by high uncertainty and long-term consequences. Managers must decide whether to invest in new product features, modify existing designs, or redirect innovation efforts in response to market signals. These decisions are rarely supported by complete information. Commercial intelligence helps reduce this uncertainty by providing structured insights into customer purchasing behavior, competitor strategies, and pricing dynamics. By grounding innovation decisions in commercial evidence, managers can move beyond intuition-driven choices toward more disciplined and transparent decision-making processes.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

A defining aspect of managerial decision-making in this context is the need to balance short-term commercial pressures with long-term innovation objectives. Industrial firms often face immediate demands for price reductions or customized solutions, which may conflict with broader innovation strategies. Commercial intelligence enables managers to evaluate these demands within a strategic framework, distinguishing between requests that signal genuine market shifts and those that reflect isolated bargaining tactics. This distinction is essential for avoiding reactive innovation decisions that undermine long-term competitiveness.

Commercial intelligence also influences how managers prioritize among competing innovation initiatives. Industrial firms typically manage multiple innovation projects simultaneously, each competing for limited resources. Managers rely on commercial intelligence to assess the relative market potential of these initiatives, considering factors such as customer willingness to pay, addressable market size, and competitive intensity. This evaluation informs portfolio-level decisions, ensuring that innovation investments are aligned with commercial opportunity rather than technical enthusiasm alone.

Cross-functional coordination represents another critical dimension of managerial decision-making in innovation-oriented firms. Product innovation decisions often require alignment between engineering, sales, marketing, and operations. Commercial intelligence functions as a shared reference point that facilitates this alignment by articulating commercial priorities in a form that can be understood across functions. When managers use commercial intelligence to frame innovation discussions, they create a common language that bridges technical and commercial perspectives, reducing internal conflict and improving decision quality.

The role of managerial judgment remains central, even in organizations with sophisticated intelligence systems. Commercial intelligence does not eliminate the need for judgment; rather, it enhances managerial capacity to interpret complex and sometimes contradictory signals. Managers must weigh quantitative data against qualitative insights, assess trade-offs, and anticipate competitor reactions. In doing so, they transform commercial intelligence from raw information into strategic action. This interpretive role underscores the human element of innovation management, emphasizing that intelligence supports but does not replace managerial expertise.

In international industrial firms, managerial decision-making is further complicated by geographic and institutional diversity. Managers must adapt innovation strategies to markets with different regulatory standards, procurement norms, and competitive conditions. Commercial intelligence enables managers to contextualize innovation decisions within specific market environments, supporting localized adaptations without fragmenting overall strategy. This capability is particularly valuable for firms seeking to scale technical innovations across borders while maintaining operational efficiency.

Finally, commercial intelligence contributes to learning-oriented decision-making by enabling managers to reflect on past innovation outcomes. By systematically analyzing the commercial performance of previous innovations, managers can identify patterns that

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

inform future decisions. This feedback loop strengthens organizational learning and supports continuous improvement in innovation management. Over time, firms that embed commercial intelligence into managerial decision-making processes develop a more resilient and adaptive innovation capability.

In summary, managerial decision-making in innovation-oriented industrial firms is shaped by the ability to interpret and apply commercial intelligence. By integrating commercial intelligence into decision processes, managers can align innovation initiatives with market realities, balance competing objectives, and enhance the likelihood of successful technical product commercialization. The next section builds on this analysis by presenting a conceptual model that illustrates how commercial intelligence drives product innovation in industrial markets.

7. A BUSINESS MANAGEMENT MODEL OF COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE–DRIVEN PRODUCT INNOVATION

To advance understanding of how commercial intelligence shapes product innovation in industrial markets, this section proposes a business management model that conceptualizes commercial intelligence as a central driver connecting market signals, managerial decision-making, and technical product commercialization outcomes. The model responds to limitations in existing innovation frameworks by explicitly integrating commercial considerations into the core of the innovation process rather than treating them as downstream effects.

At the foundation of the model lies the continuous flow of external commercial signals generated by industrial markets. These signals include customer purchasing criteria, RFQ requirements, pricing benchmarks, competitor configurations, and contractual constraints. Unlike traditional market data, these signals are highly structured and recurrent, reflecting the formalized nature of industrial procurement. The model posits that innovation-relevant value emerges not from the mere availability of these signals, but from their interpretation through managerial lenses shaped by experience, strategic intent, and organizational context.

The second component of the model is commercial intelligence formation. This stage involves the synthesis of disparate commercial signals into actionable insights that inform innovation decisions. Managers filter and prioritize signals based on perceived relevance to product performance, cost structures, and market access. This interpretive process transforms raw data into commercial intelligence, enabling managers to evaluate innovation opportunities in terms of both technical feasibility and commercial viability. Importantly, the model emphasizes that commercial intelligence is not a static resource, but a dynamic capability that evolves through repeated market interactions.

Managerial decision-making constitutes the third core element of the model. At this stage, commercial intelligence informs strategic choices regarding innovation direction, scope, and timing. Managers decide which product attributes to enhance, which features to standardize, and which customization requests to accommodate. These decisions reflect

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

trade-offs between differentiation and cost efficiency, short-term responsiveness and long-term strategic positioning. The model highlights that managerial judgment mediates the relationship between commercial intelligence and innovation outcomes, reinforcing the role of leadership in innovation success.

The fourth component of the model focuses on product innovation execution. Innovation activities are shaped by earlier decisions informed by commercial intelligence, influencing design priorities, material selection, modularity, and production processes.

Rather than viewing innovation execution as purely technical, the model conceptualizes it as commercially conditioned engineering activity. This perspective explains why similar technical capabilities can yield different innovation outcomes across firms, depending on how commercial intelligence is embedded in execution choices.

Technical product commercialization represents the fifth component of the model and serves as the primary feedback mechanism. As products enter the market, firms receive commercial feedback through sales performance, customer negotiations, competitive responses, and margin outcomes.

This feedback generates new commercial signals that re-enter the intelligence formation process, creating a continuous learning loop. The model thus frames innovation and commercialization as iterative and mutually reinforcing processes rather than sequential stages.

A key strength of the proposed model lies in its ability to explain variation in innovation performance among industrial firms. Firms that systematically integrate commercial intelligence into innovation decisions are more likely to achieve alignment between product offerings and market demand. In contrast, firms that rely predominantly on technical criteria risk misalignment, leading to innovation inefficiencies or commercialization failures. The model provides a coherent explanation for why technically comparable products can experience divergent market outcomes.

From a business management perspective, the model underscores the importance of organizational structures and routines that support commercial intelligence integration. Cross-functional communication, data-sharing mechanisms, and leadership involvement are critical enablers of the model's effectiveness. Without these supports, commercial intelligence may remain fragmented and underutilized, limiting its impact on innovation decisions.

In summary, the proposed business management model positions commercial intelligence as a central driver of product innovation in industrial markets. By linking external commercial signals to managerial decision-making and technical execution, the model offers a comprehensive framework for understanding how innovation and commercialization interact under commercial constraints. The next section examines the managerial implications of this model for firms seeking to enhance innovation performance in industrial markets.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

8. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS FOR INDUSTRIAL MARKETS

The conceptualization of commercial intelligence as a driver of product innovation carries significant managerial implications for firms operating in industrial markets. Managers responsible for innovation, commercialization, and strategic planning must recognize that innovation success depends not only on technical excellence, but on the ability to systematically interpret and apply commercial signals. This section outlines how industrial firms can translate the insights of the proposed model into actionable management practices.

First, managers should reposition commercial intelligence as a strategic capability rather than a supporting analytical function. In many industrial organizations, commercial data is fragmented across sales, marketing, and finance departments, limiting its influence on innovation decisions. By centralizing and formalizing commercial intelligence processes, managers can ensure that innovation strategies are grounded in a shared understanding of market realities. This shift requires leadership commitment and the integration of commercial intelligence into strategic planning routines.

Second, the findings suggest that innovation governance structures must explicitly incorporate commercial criteria. Investment decisions related to product development, design modifications, or new product introductions should be evaluated not only on technical merit, but also on anticipated commercial impact. Managers can achieve this by embedding commercial intelligence metrics—such as price elasticity, customer value drivers, and competitive benchmarks—into innovation review processes. This practice enhances decision transparency and reduces the risk of misaligned innovation investments.

Third, the model highlights the importance of cross-functional collaboration in innovation management. Effective use of commercial intelligence depends on continuous interaction between engineering, sales, and management teams. Managers should facilitate structured dialogue that allows commercial insights to inform technical decisions and vice versa. Such collaboration strengthens organizational alignment and ensures that innovation initiatives reflect both market demand and operational feasibility.

Fourth, the international dimension of industrial markets amplifies the relevance of commercial intelligence. Managers overseeing global operations must adapt innovation and commercialization strategies to diverse market conditions without compromising efficiency. Commercial intelligence enables informed localization by clarifying which product features and pricing structures are commercially viable in specific markets. Managers who leverage this intelligence can scale innovations more effectively across borders while maintaining strategic coherence.

Fifth, commercial intelligence supports risk management in innovation decision-making. By providing early warning signals related to pricing pressure, competitive entry, or shifting customer priorities, commercial intelligence allows managers to adjust innovation strategies before significant resources are committed. This proactive approach reduces innovation-related uncertainty and enhances organizational resilience in volatile markets.

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

Finally, the integration of commercial intelligence fosters a learning-oriented management culture. Managers who systematically analyze the commercial outcomes of innovation decisions develop deeper insights into the relationship between product design and market performance. This learning capability strengthens future innovation efforts and contributes to continuous improvement. Over time, firms that embed commercial intelligence into managerial practice are better positioned to achieve sustained innovation success.

In summary, the managerial implications of this study emphasize the need for industrial firms to rethink how innovation is managed under commercial constraints. By elevating commercial intelligence to a central role in product innovation decision-making, managers can enhance alignment between technical capability and market demand, improving both innovation effectiveness and commercialization outcomes. The next section discusses the limitations of this study and outlines directions for future research.

9. LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

While this study advances understanding of product innovation in industrial markets by positioning commercial intelligence as a central managerial driver, it is not without limitations. Recognizing these limitations is essential both for contextualizing the findings and for guiding future research efforts that seek to build on the proposed framework.

First, this study is conceptual in nature and does not rely on primary empirical data. Although conceptual research plays a critical role in theory development—particularly in emerging or underexplored areas—it limits the ability to statistically test the relationships proposed in the model. Future research could empirically examine the impact of commercial intelligence on innovation performance using qualitative case studies, survey-based methods, or longitudinal data from industrial firms. Such studies would allow scholars to validate and refine the proposed relationships.

Second, the analysis focuses primarily on industrial and energy-oriented markets characterized by formalized procurement processes and technical product complexity. While these contexts provide a strong foundation for examining commercial intelligence, the findings may not be fully generalizable to other sectors, such as consumer goods or service industries. Future research could explore whether commercial intelligence plays a similar role in innovation decision-making across different market structures, or whether its influence varies depending on industry characteristics.

Third, the study emphasizes managerial decision-making at the firm level, potentially underestimating the influence of broader institutional and regulatory factors. In industrial markets, regulations, standards, and policy frameworks can significantly shape both innovation and commercialization outcomes. Future research could integrate institutional theory perspectives to examine how external governance mechanisms interact with commercial intelligence in shaping innovation strategies. Fourth, the model treats commercial intelligence as a relatively cohesive construct, while in practice its implementation may vary across organizations. Differences in organizational culture,

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

leadership styles, and data analytics capabilities may influence how commercial intelligence is interpreted and applied. Future studies could investigate these organizational contingencies, identifying conditions under which commercial intelligence is more or less effective as a driver of innovation.

Finally, this research primarily considers innovation outcomes from a managerial and commercial perspective. While this focus is appropriate for the study's objectives, future research could explore additional outcomes such as sustainability, resilience, or long-term technological capability development. Expanding the scope of analysis would further enrich understanding of how commercial intelligence shapes innovation trajectories over time. Overall, these limitations point to a rich agenda for future research. By empirically testing the proposed model, extending it to new contexts, and integrating complementary theoretical perspectives, scholars can deepen insights into the role of commercial intelligence in product innovation and technical product commercialization.

10. CONCLUSION

This paper set out to examine the role of commercial intelligence as a driver of product innovation in industrial markets, with particular emphasis on technical product commercialization and managerial decision-making. In response to the limitations of technology-centered innovation perspectives, the study proposed a management-oriented framework that positions commercial intelligence at the core of innovation processes.

By conceptualizing commercial intelligence as a distinct and actionable managerial construct, the paper highlighted how innovation decisions are shaped by continuous exposure to market-based commercial signals. These signals—derived from pricing dynamics, procurement mechanisms, customer purchasing behavior, and competitive pressures—inform not only how products are commercialized, but also which innovations are pursued and how they are executed. The analysis demonstrated that successful product innovation in industrial markets depends on the ability of managers to interpret and apply commercial intelligence in a strategic and systematic manner.

The proposed business management model illustrated how commercial intelligence connects external market signals with internal innovation activities and commercialization outcomes. By framing innovation as an iterative process rather than a linear sequence, the model provides a more realistic account of how industrial firms operate under commercial constraints. It also offers an explanation for why firms with similar technical capabilities may experience markedly different innovation outcomes.

From a theoretical standpoint, this study contributes to the product innovation and business management literature by extending the analysis of innovation beyond engineering and R&D functions. It underscores the importance of managerial judgment, cross-functional coordination, and commercially informed decision-making in shaping innovation success. From a practical perspective, the findings offer guidance for managers seeking to enhance innovation performance by embedding commercial

Tianjin Daxue Xuebao (Ziran Kexue yu Gongcheng Jishu Ban)/
Journal of Tianjin University Science and Technology
ISSN (Online):0493-2137
E-Publication: Online Open Access
Vol: 58 Issue: 01:2025
DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18501662

intelligence into strategic and operational routines. In conclusion, commercial intelligence emerges as a critical mechanism through which industrial firms can align technical capability with market demand, reduce innovation uncertainty, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage. By recognizing innovation as a commercially driven managerial process, this study advances a more integrated understanding of product innovation and technical product commercialization in industrial markets.

References

1) Adner, R. (2006). Match your innovation strategy to your innovation ecosystem. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(4), 98–107.

2) Calantone, R. J., Cavusgil, S. T., & Zhao, Y. (2002). Learning orientation, firm innovation capability, and firm performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31(6), 515–524. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(01)00203-6

3) Day, G. S. (2011). Closing the marketing capabilities gap. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(4), 183–195. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.183

4) Ernst, H. (2002). Success factors of new product development: A review of the empirical literature. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 4(1), 1–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2370.00075

5) Gatignon, H., & Xuereb, J. M. (1997). Strategic orientation of the firm and new product performance. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(1), 77–90. https://doi.org/10.2307/3152066

6) Homburg, C., Krohmer, H., & Workman, J. P. (2004). A strategy implementation perspective of market orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 57(12), 1331–1340. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(03)00069-6

7) Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (1993). Market orientation: Antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(3), 53–70. https://doi.org/10.2307/1251854

8) Kahn, K. B. (2018). Understanding innovation. *Business Horizons*, 61(3), 453–460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.01.011

9) Kohli, A. K., & Jaworski, B. J. (1990). Market orientation: The construct, research propositions, and managerial implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.2307/1251866

10) Lichtenthaler, U. (2003). Third generation management of technology intelligence processes. *R&D Management*, 33(4), 361–375. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9310.00303

11) Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of a market orientation on business profitability. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(4), 20–35. https://doi.org/10.2307/1251757

12) Porter, M. E. (2008). The five competitive forces that shape strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, 86(1), 78–93.

13) Sawhney, M., Wolcott, R. C., & Arroniz, I. (2006). The 12 different ways for companies to innovate. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 47(3), 75–81.

14) Tidd, J., & Bessant, J. (2018). *Managing innovation: Integrating technological, market and organizational change* (6th ed.). Wiley.

15) Ulaga, W., & Eggert, A. (2006). Value-based differentiation in business relationships. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(1), 119–136. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.1.119.qxd

16) Zahay, D., Griffin, A., & Fredericks, E. (2011). Information use in new product development. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 28(6), 864–883. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2011.00841.x