

A STUDY TO INVESTIGATE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF IR 5.0 AND ITS EFFECT ON IT AND ROBOTICS DEPARTMENTS

LEI RONGMING

Scholar, Lincoln University College.

Dr. AMIYA BHAUMIK

Professor, President, Lincoln University College.

Abstract

Within the scope of this study, we shall Research on the technological challenges facing the new "industry 5.0" sector, which includes the machine and electronic manufacturing sectors. Researchers will gain insight into the challenges of implementing industry 5.0 in the machine and electronics manufacturing sectors from this study. Despite the modest number of issues with industry 5.0, if researchers can identify all of the minor issues, they will be in a position to propose possible solutions as well. Getting to the bottom of these issues is crucial to the development of the electronic manufacturing industry, hence this study is of great societal importance.

Keyword: Industry 5.0, also known as the Fourth Industrial Revolution, smart manufacturing, cobots, and big data.

INTRODUCTION

Constant innovation is essential if industry is to keep up with the challenges of a changing environment and remain a positive economic force in Europe. It's possible that various nodes in the value chain will require varying degrees of efficiency improvements to ensure that European industry can maintain its status as a global quality standard and meet the evolving needs of customers everywhere. Furthermore, it may help a company's manufacturing processes become more adaptable, agile, and lean.

Cyber-physical systems that leverage the Internet of Things to forge links between humans, machines, and other electronics are predicted to serve as the backbone of the next major upheaval in the manufacturing sector. New value networks and ecosystems are emerging as a result of this interconnectedness throughout all value chains, from customer to supplier, over the product's lifecycle, and across several functional divisions. It's possible to create more value in a way that is more efficient, individualised, high-quality, service-oriented, transparent, durable, and adaptive. This new strategy will result in a more complete supply chain that spans the whole life cycle of the product by bringing maintenance closer to manufacturing. It will help the economy, the environment, and society, all of which are essential to long-term growth and prosperity.

General-purpose technology enabled the first industrial revolution by facilitating the use of water and steam power, electricity, the division of labour, and mass manufacturing. The same is true of the second industrial revolution's contributions to computing, electronics, and mechanisation. When discussing the fourth industrial revolution, we also

take into account cyber-physical systems and the Internet of Things (IoT). Instead, Industry 5.0 will place a premium on sustaining and spreading ideas that are both socially and environmentally responsible.

Representatives from research and technology organisations across Europe met virtually for two sessions to explore "Industry 5.0." This idea recognises the potential for industry to contribute to society goals beyond mere employment and economic growth, becoming a source of wealth by safeguarding output within the limits of our planet and prioritising the well-being of industrial employees.

Industry 4.0 has been a challenging idea to define from its inception in 2011, when it was eventually acknowledged that it refers to a mix of technologies that may construct cyber-physical systems within organisations and supply chains. Approximately 140 billion euros are invested each year by the European manufacturing sector (Geissbauer et al., 2016). Although tremendous room for growth exists in this sector, the digital transformation of organisations has not yet provided appreciable advantages on a worldwide scale. As a result of the rapid pace of technological advancement, certain industries are more vulnerable to disruption than others. Using EU-wide digital indicators, we can see that enterprises in the EU continue to struggle most with the challenge of integrating digital technology with the digitalization of services (DESI). The fourth industrial revolution is only getting started, and there is still a long way to go before it achieves full potential. There is a chance to hasten the creation of new technologies thanks to the 5G network and other government programmes that back digital technologies and policies for the digitization of society and industry, such as RFCs, PR7, EUREKA, and SPIRE.

Businesses and supply chains might benefit from "Industry 4.0," which creates cyber-physical production systems by integrating information and operational technology. The convergence of information and operational technology enables this amalgamation. For the industrial revolution to go from Industry 4.0 to Industry 5.0, it is necessary to shed light on the part that humans play in cyber-physical systems. Industry 4.0 technologies won't force people use them, but they might make them more accessible if they become widely used. The roles that operators would play in Industry 4.0 were discussed in broad strokes in the publications by Romero et al (2016 one of the earliest actions during the transition from Industry 4.0 to Industry 5.0 was the humanization of the built technology environment. Furthermore, researchers have found a paucity of research in the idea of Industry 4.0 today in areas such as environmental safeguards, corporate social responsibility, and the supply of sufficient safety safeguards (Longo et al., 2020).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The industry 5.0 blueprint has a number of cutting-edge and fascinating new capabilities. Manufacturing moved away from relying on human labour and toward being powered by mechanical, electrical, computer, and data power in less than two centuries. The final segment of the circle will soon be closed, and with it will come yet another wave of worker reductions.

Where do we go from here with industry?

Humans have evolved back into a productive phase, and cognitive computing will be used to help and advance their cognitive abilities in this new phase of their history.

Humans and machines are no longer rivals, but partners in completing tasks.

One way businesses may better serve their customers is by responding to their unique needs by customising their products and services.

Still, a great deal of effort is required. It's the era of Industry 5.0 now. On the other hand, real implementations have already set the norm. Manufacturers are already using cloud-based applications to oversee daily operations at their factories. An outstanding example of this type of programme is Factory4Future, which was designed for use in micro factories.

Universal Robots has released collaborative robots, or cobots, in the past. These robots can operate alongside humans and do not require cages. A Bloomberg story claims that Mercedes already employs a larger number of humans to work alongside robots on the assembly line. To this end, they are putting forth extra effort to realise their vision of offering customer service with a "human touch." Accenture commissioned a survey in 2015 among manufacturing executives, and their predictions indicated that by 2020, "human-machine centred setups" will be standard in most factories. The improved human-machine interaction made possible by Industry 5.0 is good for business and employees alike. The benefits to society from the 5th industrial revolution are substantial: higher standards of living, more employment opportunities, and the birth of entirely new economic sectors.

As a result of the high degree of competition in their field, manufacturers need to be flexible, productive, and quick to respond, all while minimising their overhead costs (Fatorachian, H., & Kazemi, H., 2018). This is made feasible by the extensive use of digital technologies and automation tools across the supply chain, both internal and external to the company (Rashid, A., & Tjahjono, B., 2016). The organization's multiple subsystems are all vertically integrated. Production, HR, and Admin, plus Buying and Planning are all included. The idea of automating production processes has been around for a while. Despite the fact that some aspects of manufacturing were automated, the company's systems were not integrated, so limiting the full benefits that automation could have provided (Da Xu, L., 2011). A mismatch of automation technologies, according to another study, was the result of many interfaces or communication mechanisms not working effectively together. That's just one more thing that's been going wrong. Specifically in the context of Industry 4.0, CPS and IOT link and integrate the virtual and physical worlds through the use of intelligent things that are constantly communicating to and interacting with one another in order to achieve particular strategic goals. It's important for companies to assess their readiness to embrace Industry 4.0 before making such a significant strategic choice (Rajnai, Z., & Kocsis, I., 2018). Maturity models are a popular type of tool that may be used to assess the degree to which an organisation or a

process has progressed and is able to achieve its goals. The primary goal of the readiness models is to document the initial state and provide the way for development to begin. Many people nowadays are familiar with the "IMPULS - Industry 4.0 Preparedness" notion of preparedness.

There have been four major shifts in the industrial sector throughout human history, the most recent of which is known as Industry 4.0. Certain scholars and businesspeople have noticed these changes (Qin, J., et al., 2016). The first industrial revolution occurred between 1760 and 1840, the second towards the end of the nineteenth century, and the third with the introduction of information and communication technology and industrial automation in the 1960s. The so-called "fourth industrial revolution," or Industry 4.0, is the result of the combined efforts of several high-income countries. The German public-private initiative to build "smart factories" by fusing digital and physical technologies stands out as one of the most significant of these efforts. This was a really important project. One of the most distinctive features of the current industrial revolution is cyber-physical systems (CPS), which combine IT, IoT, and machinery (Schwab, K., 2017). To rephrase, today's Industry 4.0 may be understood as the first generation of the connecting platforms being utilised in industry, just as the Industrial Revolution was the first generation of the Industrial Age. Factoring in the integration of several firm components, with primary focus on production challenges, and employing state-of-the-art manufacturing technologies (Fatorachian, H., & Kazemi, H., 2018). Industry 4.0, a trend in manufacturing, may be seen as a direct effect of the increasing digitalization of businesses.

Industry 4.0 might be viewed in this light as a method of widespread technological adoption. Industrialized nations like Germany have been in the forefront of developing new ideas for technology throughout the Industrial Age, and these concepts are now being exported to other countries (Bernat, S., & Karabag, S.F., 2018). Diffusion and acceptance tend to take a long period and spread from more developed to less developed countries. Different patterns of behaviour may emerge as a direct result of comparing how people in developing countries like Brazil use digital technology to those in industrialised countries like Germany.

Diffusion and adoption theories provide a variety of potential explanations for these variations in economic performance. There are discrepancies because of the intense competition in both the adopter sector and the supplier industry, as well as the obstacles to the dissemination and general adoption of innovative ideas. In 1986, Robertson and Gatignon released their research. This means that the conditions for determining the worth of dispersed technology in developing nations may differ from those in industrialised nations (Kagermann, H., 2015).

Our research is predicated on the assumption that the rate at which emerging countries embrace cutting-edge technologies may vary because of differences in how these countries see the significance of technology. It is on this foundation that our research rests. Many researchers have already studied the spread of new technologies, so we

won't go over old ground here. Instead, it will centre on the present rate of adoption and the anticipated advantages for Brazil's business sector. To begin, let's examine some of the more broad advantages that proponents of Industry 4.0 have been pointing out. Our next frame of reference is the Brazilian business climate, specifically the challenges that may be encountered while introducing new ideas like "Industry 4.0." Accordingly, empirical data allows us to look at adoption rates and potential advantages.

Businesses are encouraged to adopt the ideas of Industry 4.0 as a tool to more easily adapt their production processes to changing market demands and to conduct real-time data analysis. The use of information and communication technologies (ICT) in manufacturing, according to another researcher, is what has enabled the transition to this next stage of manufacturing feasible. The price of sensors has also gone down, allowing for more everyday things to be equipped with them. The development of these technologies has made possible the creation of embedded systems and networked systems. In an effort to build a "smart factory," these technologies keep tabs on and control everything from machines to conveyors to finished goods. They accomplish this by utilising a feedback loop that collects a large amount of data (also known as "big data") and updating virtual models with knowledge gained from physical processes (Gilchrist, A., 2016). (Gilchrist, A., 2016). Many new technologies have emerged since the 1980s, when digital manufacturing was first introduced. These include cloud computing for on-demand manufacturing services, simulation for commissioning, and additive manufacturing for flexible manufacturing systems. Since the beginning of digital manufacturing, several technical developments have happened, including those listed above.

The declaration states that countries with a history of industrialization and widespread use of automation and information and communication technologies (ICT) were the birthplaces of the transformation now known as Industry 4.0. Due to the immaturity of their early industrial stages, developing countries may lag behind in the adoption of Industry 4.0. The following are some possible explanations for this: Use of ICTs is on the rise in Brazil, and that has led to a notable improvement in the country's labour productivity. No market benefits or internal production process improvements can be attributed to the money spent on acquiring software. The authors claim that businesses are investing in software to streamline internal processes rather than cutting-edge IT that may give them a leg up in the innovation race (Frank, A.G., et al., 2016).

First mechanical production equipment appeared around the turn of the 18th century, ushering in the era of industrialisation. Automation was made possible by the introduction of hydropower and steam power during the first stage of the Industrial Revolution. The transition from an agricultural society to an industrial one began as a direct result of this. Around the turn of the twentieth century, automated mechanical systems in production procedures that relied on electrical power became commonplace, ushering in a second industrial revolution (i.e., mass-production). Organizational innovations like Henry Ford's assembly line and, according to another researcher, scientific management practises,

also called Taylorism, made significant contributions. The first signs of the Third Industrial Revolution appeared around 1969. In the modern era, made possible by advances in information and communication technology, machines are increasingly replacing humans in many workplaces. Machines are slowly but surely replacing humans in these roles. The third industrial revolution will boost automation in manufacturing when intelligent systems like industrial robots are widely adopted. The fields of "intelligent mechatronics and robotics" are attracting a sizable number of experts due to the many benefits they offer. This is why a new term, "Industry 4.0," has been coined to describe this sea change in manufacturing. Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS) that integrate Internet of Things (IoT) technologies into traditional manufacturing settings are at the heart of this evolution. In order to boost efficiency, adaptability, and variety in output, this has led to the incorporation of digital twins of physical objects into manufacturing processes and infrastructure. Technology that "technically integrates CPS into production and logistics, and employs internet-connected devices and services in industrial processes," as defined by Acatech. That is to say, it describes the practise of employing tools and resources that can connect to the web in the context of making things. As a result, it will influence how work is organised, how value is produced, how businesses operate, and what services are offered as a result.

Many studies have examined the concepts behind Industry 4.0. Numerous technologies within the Industry 4.0 framework provide features and services that are interchangeable and equivalent to one another in terms of their practical value. Among the most important technologies used in Industry 4.0 are cloud computing, big data, the internet of things (IoT), industrial wireless networks, cyber-physical systems, augmented reality, machine learning, and cyber security.

Industry 4.0's overarching goal is to facilitate the creation of interconnected, intelligent, and self-regulating processes and systems. To rephrase, businesses that adopt Industry 4.0-inspired workflows may develop novel, scalable, and efficient value-added processes. The fourth industrial revolution, or Industry 4.0, is ushering in a fresh approach to business model innovation in the manufacturing sector. Given that Industry 4.0 is still in its infancy, developing a framework and methodology for implementing Industry 4.0's recommendations is crucial to the future success of the movement. As will be described in greater detail in the following section, many maturity models have already been developed for the purpose of analysing the level of manufacturing maturity in the context of Industry 4.0.

Statement of the Problem

"Due to increasing connection and the usage of common communications protocols, there is an enhanced cyber security threat in crucial industrial systems and manufacturing lines in industry 5.0,"

Because of the pervasiveness of information and operational technology, concerns regarding cyber security are of the utmost importance. Both Industry 4.0 and 5.0 require a comprehensive investigation of the assumptions and realities of cyber security.

Because of the significance and impact of big data, businesses are devoting a sizeable percentage of their resources to addressing issues related to digital privacy and security as a direct consequence. For instance, more privacy-conscious access control criteria need to be implemented when the data is kept and collected so that it can only be used for the purposes for which it was intended to be used. This will ensure that big data can only be used for the purposes for which it was intended to be used. When it comes to the manner in which information is shared and connected across a variety of organisations and fields, one of the most important factors to take into account is the issue of privacy and security. As a result of the fact that the majority of industries have digitised and automated their processes, the significance of data security in the 4th and 5th industrial revolutions has substantially expanded as a consequence of these circumstances. Despite the fact that industries 4.0 and 5.0 have attained maturity, they have also brought with them a plethora of operational dangers, which creates a challenge for digital supply networks and the industries that are related to them. Because of the importance of digital development and advancement to this sector's economy, ensuring adequate cyber security should be a high priority for everyone involved in the management of the company. The outcome of this is that in the case of a cyberattack, the repercussions might have such far-reaching effects that the economic value chain would be unable to appropriately mitigate them in sufficient time. As we go into the age of Industry 4.0 and into the age of Industry 5.0, it is imperative that cyber security strategies that are vigilant, safe, and persistent be completely incorporated into IT strategies and organisational strategies. Because the purpose of this discussion is to evaluate only a few of the cyber safety concerns that have an effect on Industry 4.0 and 5.0, it is vital to find solutions to or implement updates to these risk factors in order to keep the promise and reality of this industry intact.

Because of the presence of those very same information and process technologies, the appearance of virtual threats has also been altered; thus, it is essential to comprehend the risks connected with the protection of these technologies. The underlying assumptions as well as the reality of cyber security need to be addressed by industries 4.0 and 5.0.

As a direct consequence of the importance and sway that big data wields, businesses are devoting a sizeable percentage of their resources to addressing issues related to the protection of users' personal information and cyberspace. The storing and recording of massive amounts of data requires more than just fundamental access control rules that are sensitive to issues of privacy in order for the big data to be utilised for the reasons for which it was originally intended. When information is shared and connected across a variety of organisations and sectors, the issue of privacy and security will also be subjected to a heavy amount of examination; as a result, it is something that should be

considered. Cyber security has grown more critical in the fourth and fifth industry revolutions as a result of the various vulnerabilities that have been exposed as a result of the widespread digitization and automation of business activities across most sectors. Despite the fact that industries 4.0 and 5.0 have attained maturity, they have also brought with them a whole host of operational dangers, which are now a problem for digital supply chains and the connected smart industries that they support. Everyone needs to make ensuring their network is secure a top priority, given the interdependence of several industries and the rapid pace of change brought on by the digital revolution. Because the industrial value chain as a whole is not equipped to deal with risks of this nature, the effects of a cyberattack might have such far-reaching repercussions that it would be impossible to mitigate them in a timely manner. Because of this, in this stage of Industry 4.0 on its path to Industry 5.0, it is imperative to handle the cyber threats with well-developed plans that must be alert, reliable, and persistent in addition to complete integration into IT and organisational strategies. This is because of the fact that it is imperative to handle the cyber threats with well-developed plans. Because the purpose of this discussion is to analyse some of the difficulties associated with maintaining security in the digital world, which have an effect on Industry 4.0 and 5.0, it is necessary to find solutions to or make improvements to these risk factors in order to ensure the long-term viability and growth of the sector. (Clim, 2019)

Objective of the Study

In today's world, technological breakthroughs and improvements in technology play a significant role in the operations of every firm. Businesses are facing new challenges as a direct result of the digital transformation and greater connectivity. This is due to the fact that the Fourth Industrial Revolution has had a significant effect on the product design, manufacturing processes, procedures, and services. This research paper will focus primarily on the implications that Industry Revolution 5.0 and IR 5.0 will have for the manufacturing sector as its major objective. The fifth wave of the industrial revolution will cause significant shifts in the structure of the global economy. It will be constructed making use of a wide range of different technological approaches. What early media and magazine coverage of Industry 5.0 has to say about this emerging technology is something that piques our attention, and we want to learn more about it. The following is an outline of the objectives that this present research intends to achieve.

To conduct an investigation of the ways in which the implementation of IR 5 has influenced the IT and robotics departments.

Research Questions

What kinds of opportunities exist for those with original ideas and skill sets in the field of artificial intelligence?

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

By providing an empirical context, this study allows for the analysis and hypothesis testing of a theoretical relational route chosen from the literature. The given conceptual models facilitate this. Among the objectives of the conceptual framework is the quantification of the facts. There was a heavy emphasis on quantitative methods and techniques throughout this examination. Nevertheless, qualitative data could be helpful for further conceptual validation in any study approach. Collecting and analysing qualitative data is a prerequisite to learning how to validate the process of gaining trust and commitment. To determine the level of relevance in respect to qualitative information that probes the phenomena, the proposed research employs a quantitative and qualitative triangulation of data.

Research Design

Quantitative studies aim to characterise and provide explanations for phenomena via the use of numerical representations and data manipulation. Numerous disciplines have made use of it during the last few decades, including physics, biology, sociology, and geology.

Additionally, Cohen (1980) defines quantitative research as social research that employs empirical procedures and empirical assertions. He defines an empirical claim as a statement that describes how something really is, as opposed to how he thinks it should be. Using empirical evaluations is another part of quantitative research, which often uses numerical expressions to describe empirical statements. In other words, an empirical evaluation seeks to determine whether or not a certain programme or policy satisfies a set of standards.

Data Analysis

The term "data analysis" is used to describe the steps taken to "clean," "transform," and "model" data for the purpose of revealing useful information in the context of business decision-making. The purpose of any data analysis should be to provide useful information from which decisions may be made.

The computerised data was input using the 25.0 edition of the SPSS software. In order to analyse the data, first they were cleansed. The statistical software package SPSS was used for the analysis. Cross tabulations and frequency tables were used to summarise the data.

It may seem premature to consider Industry 5.0 at this time, and there is little to no written literature on the topic. Nevertheless, companies are already well into the fifth industrial revolution by adopting and adapting concepts from Industry 4.0. In reality, recent years have been useful in drawing attention to human-centric design of CPPS and the genesis of the so-called "Operator 4.0," who is characterised as a hybrid agent that is generated as the product of a symbiotic connection made between people and machines. Figure 2 explains how the technologies that make possible the Operator 4.0 will alter people's

perceptual, cognitive, and communicative abilities. The Internet of Things (IoT) paradigm has come full circle from its original conception as a way for computers to gain perception of the outside world to its current goal of improving human perception of the CPPS (and the environment in general) through the use of a wide range of sensing devices and technologies. Despite this, there has not been much use of the massive amounts of data generated by such devices in a manufacturing-focused environment. It will need enhanced cognitive skills to process, analyse, and make sense of this deluge of data in order to draw out the insights that matter. Not only can artificial intelligence (AI) technologies like cognitive computing, computer vision, knowledge representation, machine learning, recommender systems, and planning, scheduling, and optimization algorithms improve mental acuity, but so do many other technologies. Cloud computing (which provides on-demand resources, including data storage and processing capacity) and other forms of virtual reality and augmented reality (such as simulation) are also included (for training and experiential learning purposes). In order to advance towards more cognitive and intelligent workspaces, human employees are expected to collaborate with the CPPS and complement the robotic and virtual world of the automated production system by using innovative technologies that allow quicker and more intuitive processes. Due to the interdependence and mutual benefit between humans and machines, it is crucial to think about how Industry 5.0 can affect people's well-being as they interact with new technologies. Reintroducing humans to factory floors requires the creation of meaningful and long-lasting socio-technical systems that can support the use of smart technology.

Given the rise of human-machine collaboration in the workplace, engineers in the area of future industrial systems have been confronted with an increasing number of ethical dilemmas and worries about the effects technology will have on humans. The ethics of technology usage are at the core of these inquiries and worries. In the same way that ethics serves as a self-governing mechanism to maintain human self-interest and the benefit of society in harmony, we argue that ethics is anticipated to drive a symbiotic interaction between people and the cyber-physical environment in Industry 5.0. Similarly, ethics maintains a balance between individual well-being and the common good. This is especially helpful when balancing competing priorities like safety and effectiveness. In today's environment, this tactic is just not acceptable. Due to barriers to technology adoption or significant ethical challenges, a poorly value-oriented design may prevent technological revolution.

The government has to have good data coordination and enough documentation and codebooks before it can create data policies. Building a modern "smart city" is a lengthy procedure. Explore new opportunities in terms of current and future developments, as well as the impact, issues, and requirements associated with these developments, by figuring out the city's long-term goals and vision, building an ICT-based smart infrastructure that can be integrated into applications, and so on. It seems inevitable that as smart cities and other forms of automation become more widespread, situations will arise in which robots will have a greater impact than people. Openness, personal, social,

and economic growth, and technological and professional progress are all contexts in which technology must be understood. The purpose of Industry 5.0, also known as Society 5.0, is to develop a hyper-intelligent society as a logical continuation from the revolutions that came before it. Plans and regulations in the "smart cities" of Society 5.0 will be built around IoT infrastructure and research and development at all levels of government. Educational changes that emphasise the importance of digital literacy will also be tackled in these cities, and their laws and regulations will apply citywide. In Society 5.0, there will be more opportunities for people to work in environments that are flexible, dynamic, and multifaceted. This will lead to the development of a new class of employment opportunities.

CONCLUSION

Industry 5.0, which is being employed with a more noticeable viability to satisfy the increasingly customised expectations of customers, has the potential to be used to construct a virtual environment, improved computers, and data breakthroughs all at the same time. One must recognise the optimal integration of enormous quantities of data, manufactured insights, the Internet of Things (IoT), clouds, and other upcoming technologies such as cobots in order to be deemed part of Industry 5.0. It is hoped that Industry 5.0 would result in higher-value businesses with more opportunity for strategic planning and creative problem solving. It makes a difference to make strides in improving job efficiency and drawing greater attention to the many customization choices available to clients. On the other hand, as a consequence of the profoundly automated manufacturing frameworks that are now being used, it may be necessary to do a large amount of effort in order to improve the workforce's skill level. An elevated risk of cybersecurity incidents is posed to basic mechanical frameworks and fabricating lines as a result of the growing network used by industry 5.0 and its utilisation of standard communications conventions. Even if Industry 5.0 will make it possible for robots to have a greater degree of independence, humans will still have the burden of making judgments that are both important and ethical. Overall, it is anticipated that industry 5.0 would revolutionise generational frameworks and preparations by enabling for more collaboration between people and robots in the process of supplying clients with things that are tailored to their own needs. Many nations have the goal of becoming important centres of manufacturing, and they are working toward this goal via programmes such as Make in the World and Start-up. Industry 5.0 may choose to work with these efforts and activities in order to become the leader in the development of collaborative fabrication systems.

References

- Azarian, M.; Yu, H.; Solvang, W.D.; Shu, B. An Introduction of the Role of Virtual Technologies and Digital Twin in Industry 4.0.
- B. Ji et al., "A survey of computational intelligence for 6g: Key technologies, applications and trends," IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 7145–7154, 2021.

- B. Werner, "Object-oriented extensions for iec 61131–1", IEEE Electron. Mag., vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 36-39, Dec. 2009.
- Bagheri B, Yang S, Kao HA, et al. Cyber-physical systems architecture for self-aware machines in Industry 4.0 environment. IFAC-PapersOnLine. 2015; 48(3):1622–1627. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2015.06.318>
- Baiyere, A., &Salmela, H. (2015). Review: Disruptive Innovation & Information Technology – Charting a path Review: Disruptive Innovation & Information Technology – Charting a path, (December 2013). De Jong, T., Weinberger, A., Girault, I., Kluge, A., Lazonder, A. W., Pedaste, M., Zacharia,.
- Bassi, L. (2017), "Industry 4.0: hope, hype, or revolution?", 2017 IEEE 3rd International Forum on Research and Technologies for Society and Industry (RTSI), IEEE, pp. 1-6.
- Baur M, Albertelli P, and Monno, M. A review of prognostics and health management of machine tools. The International Journal of Advanced ManufacturingTechnology 2020;107(5), pp.2843-2863.
- Brundtland GH. Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development Our Common Future. Oslo, Norway: 1987. doi:10.9774/gleaf.978-1-907643-44-6_12.
- Brundtland GH. Report of the World Commission on Environment and Development Our Common Future. Oslo, Norway: 1987. doi:10.9774/gleaf.978-1-907643-44-6_12.
- Buchner, Roland, Daniela Wurhofer, Astrid Weiss, and Manfred Tscheligi (2013) "Robots in time: How user experience in human-robot
- Büyüköztürk, S.; Çakmak, E.; Akgün, Ö.E.; Karadeniz, S.; Demiral, F. BilimselAraştırmaYöntemleri; PegemYayıncılık: Ankara, Turkey, 2012; ISBN 978-9944-919-28-9.
- C. D. Alwis et al., "Survey on 6G frontiers: Trends, applications, requirements, technologies and future research," IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society, vol. 2, pp. 836–886, 2021.
- C. Roy et al., "Micro-Safe: Microservices- and deep learning-based safety-as-a-service architecture for 6G-enabled intelligent transportation system," IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, pp. 1–10, 2021.
- Cottyn, J., H. Van Landeghem, K. Stockman, and S. Derammelaere. 2011. "A Method to Align a Manufacturing Execution System with Lean Objectives." International Journal of Production Research 49 (14): 4397–4413.
- D. Paschek, A. Mocan, A. Draghici Industry 5.0-the expected impact of next industrial revolution. Thriving on future education, industry, business, and society Proceedings of the MakeLearn and TIIM International Conference, Piran, Slovenia (2019), pp. 15-17.
- D. Powell et al., "Advancing zero defect manufacturing: A state-of-the-art perspective and future research directions," Computers in Industry, vol. 136, p. 103596, 2022.
- Dil, E.; Esmer, A.H. FirmalarınEndüstri 4.0 StratejilerineDair Bir Araştırma. Strat. YönetimAraşt. Derg. 2020, 3, 85–110.
- Dineva, K.; Atanasova, T. Design of Scalable IoT Architecture Based on AWS for Smart Livestock. Animals 2021, 11, 2697.
- Disruption and Its Neologisms in Major Industrial Sectors: A State of the Art. J. Eng. 2020, 2020, 8090521.
- Dombrowski, U., and T. Wagner. 2014. "Mental Strain as Field of Action in the 4th Industrial Revolution." Procedia CIRP 17 (1): 100–105.

- Dworschak, B.; Zaiser, H. Competences for cyber-physical systems in manufacturing-First findings and scenarios. *Procedia CIRP* 2014, 25, 345–350. [CrossRef] *Appl. Sci.* 2020, 10, 4182 24 of 25
- F. Almada-Lobo, the Industry 4.0 revolution, and the future of Manufacturing Execution Systems (MES), *Journal of Innovation Management JIM* 3, 4 (2015) 16-21.
- F. Tao, Y. Zuo, L. D. Xu, and L. Zhang, “IoT based intelligent perception and access of manufacturing resource towards cloud manufacturing,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1547-1557, 2014.
- Farsi M, Erkoyuncu JA. Toward an Integrated Sustainability Assessment in Through-life Engineering Services. 9th Int. Conf. Through-life Eng. Serv., Cranfield: SSRN; 2020. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3718041.
- Fettermann DDC, Echeveste MES. New product development for mass customization: a systematic review. *Prod Manuf Res.* 2014; 2(1):266–290. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/21693277.2014.910715>
- Firms. *Struct. Chang. Econ. Dyn.* 24, 1–17.
- FOR IT SERVICE MANAGEMENT AND BUSINESS PROCESS OUTSOURCING, Management Challenges in a Network Economy, *Proceedings of the Make Learn Conference 2017*
- Franciosi C, Voisin A, Miranda S, Riemma S, lung B. Measuring maintenance impacts on sustainability of manufacturing industries: from a systematic literature review to a framework proposal. *J Clean Prod* 2020; 260:121065. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121065.
- Horváth, D.; Szabó, R.Z. Driving forces and barriers of Industry 4.0: Do multinational and small and medium-sized companies have equal opportunities? *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 2019,
- Hoskin, K. & Anderton Gough, F. (2004) the context of learning in professional work environments: insights from the accountancy profession. In, Rainbird, H., Fuller, A., and Munro, A. (2004) eds *Workplace Learning in Context*. London: Taylor & Francis
- Hozdić E. Smart factory for Industry 4.0: a review. *Int J Mod Manuf Technol.* 2015;2(1):2067–3604.
- Hristov I, Chirico A. The role of sustainability key performance indicators (KPIs) in implementing sustainable strategies. *Sustain* 2019; 11:5742. Doi: 10.3390/su11205742.
- Hsu HP. The development of a RFID and agent-based lot management controller for PROMIS in a client/ server structure for IC assembly firm. *J Ind Prod Eng.* 2015; 32(8):538–550.
- D. C. (IDC), “Investment in artificial intelligence solutions will accelerate as businesses seek insights, efficiency, and innovation,” Sep 2021, accessed on: Mar. 22, 2022.
- In International Workshop of Advanced Manufacturing and Automation; *Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering*; Springer: Singapore, 2020; Volume 634.
- J. Hirtz, R. B. Stone, D. A. McAdams, S. Szykman and K. L. Wood, “A functional basis for engineering design: Reconciling and evolving previous efforts”, 2002.
- J. Wan, D. Li, H. Yan, and P. Zhang, “Fuzzy feedback scheduling algorithm based on central processing unit utilization for a software-based computer numerical control system,” *Proc. of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, vol. 224, no. 7, pp. 1133-1143, 2010.
- Liao, Y., Deschamps, F., Loures, E. de F.R., Ramos, L.F.P., 2017. Past, present and future
- Linton JD, Klassen R, Jayaraman V. Sustainable supply chains: an introduction. *J Oper Manage.* 2007; 25:1075– 1082.

- Liu, X.; Cao, J.; Yang, Y.; Jiang, S. CPS-based smart warehouse for industry 4.0: A survey of the underlying technologies. Computers